

RB&G ENGINEERING INC.

1435 WEST 820 NORTH PROVO, UT 84601-1343 801 374-5771 Provo 801 521-5771 SLC

LEGACY Parkway

STRUCTURE F-718 500 SOUTH OVER LEGACY PARKWAY

STRUCTURE D-843

500 SOUTH OVER MULTI-USE TRAIL

Salt Lake & Davis Counties, Utah

Utah Department of Transportation SP-0067(5)0

July 2006

Geotechnical Investigation Report for Structures

200001-111 / 200001-145





July 31, 2006

Mr. Sohail Khan Carter & Burgess 420 East South Temple Suite 342 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111-1321

Reference: Legacy Parkway Project No. SP-0067(5)0

Gentlemen:

A Geotechnical Investigation Report for Structures has been completed for Structure F-718, 500 South over Legacy Parkway, and Structure D-843, 500 South over Multi-Use Trail in Salt Lake and Davis Counties, Utah. The investigation has been conducted in accordance with a proposal submitted to your organization for the work, and the results of the study are summarized in the report transmitted herewith.

We appreciate the opportunity of providing this service for you. If there are any questions relating to the information contained herein, please call.

Sincerely,

RB&G ENGINEERING, ING PROFESSION NO 162291 BRADFORD E Bradford E. Price, P.E. bep/jag 0

1435 WEST 820 NORTH PROVO, LIT 84601-1343 PROVO 801-374-5771 SALT LAKE CITY 801-521-5771



Geotechnical Investigation Report for Structures

Legacy Parkway

Structure F-718 500 South over Legacy Parkway

Structure D-843 500 South over Multi-Use Trail

Salt Lake & Davis Counties, Utah

Utah Department of Transportation SP-0067(5)0

July 2006



RB&G ENGINEERING, INC.

-Professional Engineers -

LEGACY PARKWAY

UTAH DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION SP-0067(5)0

GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION REPORT FOR STRUCTURES

Structure F-718 – 500 South over Legacy Parkway Structure D-843 – 500 South over Multi-Use Trail

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	GENERAL	1
1.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	1 2
2.0	PREVIOUS REPORTS AND INVESTIGATIONS	3
2.1 2.2 2.3	PB/FAK GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION REPORT KLEINFELDER GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION DAMES & MOORE PRELIMINARY GEOTECHNICAL STUDY	3
3.0	EXISTING FACILITIES	4
4.0	FINDINGS	5
4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.6 4.7	EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS SURFACE DRAINAGE GEOLOGY GEOLOGIC HAZARDS SOIL MATERIALS HYDROGEOLOGIC CONDITIONS POTENTIALLY HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	5 5 7 8 8 9
5.0	EARTHQUAKE CONSIDERATIONS	10
5.1 5.2	DESIGN CRITERIA LIQUEFACTION AND LATERAL SPREAD	
6.0	FIELD AND LABORATORY TEST DATA	12
6.1 6.2	SUBSURFACE EXPLORATIONLABORATORY TESTING	
7.0	STRUCTURES	15
7	DESCRIPTION 1.1 General 1.2 Subsurface Conditions 1.3 Groundwater Conditions RECOMMENDATIONS	15 15 16
	2.1 Bridge Structures	
1		
/	7.2.1.1 Driven Piles	. 17 . 20 . 22 . 22

	7.2.2	Embankments	
	7.2.3	Retaining Walls	
	7.2.4	Tunnels / Culverts	
	7.2.5	Lateral Earth Pressures	
8.0	coi	RROSION INVESTIGATIONS	
9.0	LIM	ITATIONS	
10.0	REF	FERENCES	

FIGURES

VICINITY MAP	
GEOLOGIC MAP A	
GEOLOGIC MAP B	
GEOLOGIC MAP C	
SITE PLAN & APPROXIMATE TEST HOLE LOCATIONS	

APPENDIX A	Structure Design Drawings
	Laboratory Testing

LEGACY PARKWAY

UTAH DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION SP-0067(5)0

GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION REPORT FOR STRUCTURES

Structure F-718 – 500 South over Legacy Parkway Structure D-843 – 500 South over Multi-Use Trail

1.0 GENERAL

This report presents the results of geotechnical investigations and provides foundation recommendations for the following structures located within the Legacy Parkway project:

- F-718 500 South over Legacy Parkway
- D-843 500 South over Multi-use Trail

The primary purpose of this investigation is to determine the characteristics of the subsurface material throughout the project area, and to make appropriate foundation design recommendations for the proposed structures. The report is intended to aid designers in evaluating the site and subsurface conditions for foundation design and potential construction problems.

1.1 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Legacy Parkway will be a four-lane, limited-access, divided highway extending approximately 14 miles from Interstate 215 at 2100 North in North Salt Lake, northward to the junction of Interstate 15 and U.S Highway 89 near Farmington (see Figure 1). A multiple-use pedestrian, bicycle, and horse trail will parallel the Parkway.

1.1.1 General

Bridge structures do not presently exist at the 500 South Bridge site, located in Davis County. The 500 South multi-use trail crossing (D-843) and bridge over Legacy Parkway (F-718) will be located approximately 1,600 to 2,000 feet west of the intersection of 500 South (Bountiful) and Redwood Road, respectively. The adjacent cities at this location are West Bountiful to the northeast and Woods

Cross to the southeast, with Great Salt Lake wetlands encountered west of the Parkway alignment in this area.

1.1.2 Proposed Improvements

New structures will be built at locations where the Legacy Parkway roadway and trail system will cross existing streets, waterways, and other facilities. Bountiful's 500 South Street approaches the Legacy Parkway from the east, but presently terminates at Redwood Road, some 2,000 feet east of the Parkway in this area. The street will be extended west to the Parkway and an interchange will be constructed at the intersection. It is our understanding that the 500 South Bridge over Legacy Parkway will be a two-span structure incorporating MSE walls at each abutment, and the multi-use trail will cross beneath 500 South in a tunnel/culvert type structure. Preliminary drawings of the proposed structures are included for reference in Appendix A.

1.1.3 Climatic Conditions

The climate in the project area is characterized by relatively warm summers and cold winters. The frost depth ranges between 20 to 30 inches. Winter snow often requires plowing, and de-icing salt is regularly deposited on major roadways during the winter months.

2.0 PREVIOUS REPORTS AND INVESTIGATIONS

The following geotechnical reports and investigations have been completed previously by others for this project.

2.1 PB/FAK GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION REPORT

UDOT provided copies of the Geotechnical Reports prepared by Parsons Brinckerhoff Quade & Douglas (PB) for Fluor Ames Kraemer (FAK), LLC as a part of the Design-Build Legacy Parkway Project. The report includes the results of subsurface investigations performed by Kleinfelder. Inc. and provides geotechnical recommendations for the structures contemplated in the original project. It should be noted that the project was divided into five segments for the Design-Build Project. Segment 2 of the Design Build project was to begin about 1000 feet north of Center Street (North Salt Lake) and continue in a northwesterly direction to the vicinity of the Bountiful City landfill Borings and CPT soundings were performed for the bridge originally contemplated at 500 South Street, and a roadway boring was performed about 250 feet east of the bridge.

2.2 KLEINFELDER GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION

It is our understanding the Kleinfelder, Inc. conducted an investigation of the preferred Legacy Parkway alignment for UDOT and the results were submitted in a report dated June 2, 2000. Some of its findings were reproduced in the PB/FAK Design Build reports referenced in Section 2.1 above.

2.3 DAMES & MOORE PRELIMINARY GEOTECHNICAL STUDY

It is our understanding that Dames & Moore completed a geotechnical study for the proposed preliminary Legacy Parkway corridor and presented the results in a 1998 report.

3.0 EXISTING FACILITIES

Five Hundred South is a two-lane paved road approaching the bridge site from the east before turning south onto Redwood Road about 2,000 feet east of the site. The proposed Parkway will travel in a generally north-south direction, with 500 South Street crossing over the parkway and trail. No buildings were observed within a 1,000-foot radius of the bridge site. Canal A1 flows from south to north about 600 feet west of the bridge site and crosses beneath the existing gravel access road (extension of 500 South from Redwood Road intersection). Three Hundred South is a dirt road running in an east-west direction about 600 feet to the north. Various utility lines exist in the area, including overhead power lines and buried utilities such as gas, oil, power, and communications lines.

4.0 **FINDINGS**

4.1 EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS

The topography is relatively flat throughout Segment 1 and generally slopes down to the west towards the Great Salt Lake. The proposed Legacy Parkway corridor begins just west of the existing I-215 / Redwood Road interchange on the south and continues northward. The southerly portion of the corridor travels along the westerly limits of North Salt Lake, Woods Cross, West Bountiful, and Centerville, about 0.5 to 2 miles west of I-15. North of Parrish Lane in Centerville, the Parkway corridor will be located less than about 0.25 miles west of I-15, with the two corridors essentially parallel continuing north to the I-15 / US-89 interchange in Farmington. The south and north interchanges are already partially constructed. A few industrial and commercial facilities are located along the alignment.

The 500 South site is relatively flat, with a mound of fill near the southeasterly corner of the proposed bridge location. Vegetation throughout this area consists of weeds and native grass. Some granular fill had been placed at the site during a previous phase of the project. A stockpile of steel pipe piles was observed immediately north of the boring locations at the time of drilling.

4.2 SURFACE DRAINAGE

Surface drainage in the area generally follows the topography to the west and northwest towards the Great Salt Lake. In addition to the Jordan River and Oil Drain at the south interchange, some creeks, streams, and canals cross the alignment at various locations, creating the potential for flooding Flooding and ponding on the soft surface soils can make access to bridge sites difficult.

4.3 GEOLOGY

The project is located within the Wasatch Front section of the Basin and Range physiographic region. The Wasatch Front consists of a series of down dropped valleys bounded primarily by the Wasatch Mountains on the east and the Great Salt Lake, Utah Lake and the Oquirrh Mountains on the west. The area extends from Juab County in the south up through Salt Lake, Davis, Weber and Box Elder counties to the north. The general topography of the Wasatch Front is due, in large part, to Basin and Range extensional faulting. The Wasatch Fault is an extensional normal fault which trends northerly along the base of the Wasatch Mountains from Levan in the south, and up into Idaho to the north. Prior to extensional faulting, the region was subjected to compressional forces from the west resulting in extensive thrust faulting and mountain building. Extensional forces are still active today with various segments of the Wasatch Fault capable of generating large earthquakes with magnitudes near 7.4.

The Wasatch Mountains to the east consist predominately of Precambrian to Mesozoic, metamorphic and sedimentary bedrock. The valleys along the Wasatch Front are predominately covered with Pleistocene Lake Bonneville deposits, and younger alluvial fan and stream deposits. The Bonneville Lake Cycle began about 30,000 years ago when the climate was much cooler and wetter. The lake reached its highest elevation of about 5,100 feet, known as the Bonneville shoreline, between 16,000 to 14,500 years ago. From this shoreline, the lake eventually overtopped and breached through unconsolidated sediments near Red Rock Pass sending a catastrophic flood into the Snake River drainage system in southeastern Idaho, about 14,500 years before present. Within about a year, the lake had dropped to an elevation of about 4,740 feet, forming the Provo shoreline. Due to changing climatic conditions, the lake level gradually dropped to the historic levels of its modern day remnant, the Great Salt Lake. The last major high water shoreline of the lake was the Gilbert shoreline which reached an elevation of about 4,250 feet between 11,000 to 10,000 years ago. Historically, the Great Salt Lake has fluctuated between 4,211.9 and about 4,191 feet above sea level.

During Bonneville times thousands of feet of sediment were deposited in the valley. Deposits consist of deep-water silts and clays, shoreline sand and gravels and gravely barrier beach and deltaic deposits. The unconsolidated to semi-consolidated valley fill deposits are thought to range from 2,000 to 5,000 feet thick (Black, and others, 2003; Currey, and others, 1984; Hintze, 1988; Stokes, 1986).

A geologic map of the Central Wasatch Front by Davis (1983) shows the surficial deposits in the proposed Parkway alignment to consist of floodplain and delta deposits (chiefly fine-grained and poorly drained sediments) in the vicinity of the south interchange, Provo Formation and younger lake bottom sediments (clays, silts, sands, and localized offshore bars) through the majority of the project, and landslide deposits near the north interchange. Newer maps of the area (Personius and Scott, 1992; Nelson and Personius, 1993), characterize the predominant surficial geologic deposits throughout the

study area as Lake Bonneville lacustrine clay and silt, with Holocene to upper Pleistocene lateral spread deposits at some locations. Post-Bonneville lacustrine and marsh deposits are encountered along the easterly shores of the Great Salt Lake and encroach on the Parkway alignment from the west at some bridge sites. Localized upper Holocene stream alluvium associated with the Jordan River can be found along the shores of the river near the southerly terminus of the project. Bonneville lacustrine sand and gravel may be encountered near the northerly terminus, along with upper Holocene fan alluvium consisting of cobbles and gravel in a sandy matrix.

As shown on Figure 2a, the 500 South Street site lies near the border of two surficial units mapped by Davis (1983), with lake bottom sediments to the east of the site and floodplain/delta deposits west of the site. The site lies just beyond the borders of maps by Nelson and Personius (1993) and Personius and Scott (1992). Portions of these maps are overlaid on the Davis map on Figure 2b, and extrapolation of the two more recent maps suggest that lateral spread deposits may be encountered at the site. The deeper soils are likely lacustrine clays, silts, and sands.

Figure 2c shows landslide deposits mapped by Harty and Lowe (1992) in the North Salt Lake area. The authors of the map noted that they were unable to confirm that the North Salt Lake features are landslides; however, based on surface evidence and geologic evidence provided by others, the deposits were believed to be liquefaction-induced landslides. The deposits labeled Qmq₃ on Figure 2c are believed to predate the Gilbert shoreline (about 10,000 years ago); however, the Qml₁ zone may have moved more recently. It will be noted that the 500 South Site is located within these suspected younger Holocene lateral-spread landslide deposits. The literature accompanying the map indicates that the possibility still exists for recurrent movement of the North Salt Lake landslides during earthquake ground shaking.

4.4 GEOLOGIC HAZARDS

Geologic hazards identified within the Legacy Parkway project area include ground shaking, liquefaction-induced lateral spreading and landslides, and subsidence during a moderate to large seismic event on the Salt Lake or Weber segments of the WFZ. Large seismic events on one of the other surrounding less studied faults such as the Great Salt Lake fault may also trigger these hazards. Due to the close proximity of the Parkway to the Great Salt Lake, tilting of the lake during tectonic subsidence will shift the lake toward the east. This subsidence will cause a rise in already high ground-water tables and cause the lake to inundate toward the east. Subsidence and tilting will be greatest nearest the fault and will taper off away from the fault toward the west. Studies by Keaton (1987), and Chang and Smith (1998) have compared the 7.5 magnitude earthquake at Hebgen Lake, Montana in 1959 to a maximum credible earthquake along the Wasatch Front. Keaton's study shows the area near the most eastern extent of Farmington Bay to have the greatest potential for flooding. It should be noted that the magnitude of this hazard is directly related to the level of the lake and the location and magnitude of the earthquake. Ground shaking from surrounding faults or rupture of the Great Salt Lake fault beneath the lake also has the potential to generate wave hazards in the form of seiche (water oscillation waves) or a lake tsunami The actual hazard potential to the Parkway from these waves is not known. Based on a study by Lin and Wang (1978) the hazard from seiche on the lake is likely low.

Other hazards include shallow ground water and potential flooding. A more detailed discussion of seismic hazards at the 500 South site is provided in Section 5.0.

4.5 SOIL MATERIALS

Much of the Segment 1 portion of the project has been covered with a layer of compacted granular fill, including the site of the proposed 500 South structures and the temporary gravel roadway extending west to the site from Redwood Road Borings completed at the 500 South site generally encountered soft to stiff clay and silt with loose to medium-dense sand layers in the upper 45 feet, followed by firm to stiff clay with fewer sand layers to about 95 feet. Below 95 feet the borings continued through predominantly stiff clay and silt with medium-dense to dense sand layers up to about 8 feet thick. The deepest boring extended to a depth of 253 feet (approximate elevation 3958 feet). Soil conditions are described in further detail in Section 7.1.2.

4.6 HYDROGEOLOGIC CONDITIONS

Groundwater in the Salt Lake Valley occurs in late Tertiary and Quaternary alluvial and lacustrine basin-fill deposits that range from coarse gravel to clay Four hydraulically connected aquifers have been identified in the basin sediments: 1) a deep, unconfined aquifer in gravelly deposits along the fronts of the Wasatch Range and Oquirrh Mountains; 2) a deep, confined aquifer in the center of the valley in gravel deposits beneath clay confined beds; 3) a shallow, unconfined aquifer in the center of the valley overlying the confined aquifer; and 4) local perched aquifers located primarily adjacent to mountain fronts.

The hydraulic gradient in the Parkway area generally slopes down in a westerly direction toward the Great Salt Lake. The depth to groundwater was measured at each boring location as indicated on the boring logs and was within about 4 to 7 feet of the ground surface at the 500 South site at the time of drilling (February-March 2006). Fluctuations of a few feet can be expected due to typical seasonal variations At some locations within Segment I, the existing ground is covered by water during at least part of the year, creating difficult access conditions. Artesian conditions were encountered in the lower confined aquifers at some locations.

4.7 POTENTIALLY HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

Potentially hazardous materials were not noted during the field investigation. All soil samples were re-examined in the laboratory and odors indicative of contamination were not noted. Potential sources of contamination include the oil drain at the southerly end of the project along with various past and present industrial sites located in the vicinity of the Parkway alignment. The apparent lack of contamination observed by field and lab personnel does not preclude the possible presence of potentially hazardous materials in the project area.

5.0 EARTHQUAKE CONSIDERATIONS

The study area is located within the seismically active Intermountain Seismic Belt which extends from Arizona to Canada. The nearest potentially active fault is the Weber Segment of the Wasatch Fault Zone (WFZ) located about 1.9 miles northeast of the 500 South site. The Weber segment is capable of generating a magnitude 7.4 earthquake. The Salt Lake City Segment of the WFZ is located about 2.4 miles to the southeast with the capability of a magnitude 7.2 earthquake. The West Valley Fault Zone is located about 6.3 miles to the south. It is uncertain whether the West Valley Fault Zone has a true independent seismogenic source or if it functions as an antithetic fault to the WFZ.

5.1 DESIGN CRITERIA

The site is located at latitude 40.884° North and longitude 111.937° West. USGS-NEHRP probabilistic peak ground acceleration (PGA) values are tabulated below:

Probabili	stic ground motion value	ues in %g.
	10%PE in 50 yr	2%PE in 50 yr
PGA	27.30	65.12
0.2 sec SA	64.38	154.49
1.0 sec SA	22.16	65.01

It should be noted that the USGS-NEHRP mapped values are calculated for "firm rock" sites having a shear wave velocity of 1500 feet per second in the upper 100 feet (MCEER Site Class B/C boundary), and that bedrock ground motions may amplify or attenuate as they propagate through overburden soils.

Borings and testing completed at the site of the proposed structures indicate that the clayey soils in the upper 100 feet have average undrained shear strengths of about 1,000 to 1,300 psf. Based on this information, it is recommended that MCEER Site Class D be used for seismic design.

As part of the current Legacy Parkway project, Kleinfelder, Inc. developed site specific horizontal and vertical acceleration response spectra for the 1250 West bridge site and the State Street bridge site. It is our understanding that Kleinfelder will provide a separate report with conclusions and recommendations for applying the site-specific spectra at other sites on the project.

5.2 LIQUEFACTION AND LATERAL SPREAD

Liquefaction analyses were performed using the "Simplified Procedure" developed by Seed and Idriss (1971). This procedure involves determining the seismic shear stress ratio induced by an earthquake and comparing it with the seismic shear stress ratio required to cause liquefaction. Recommended refinements for the "Simplified Procedure" for SPT data presented at the 1996 NCEER workshop (Youd et al., 1997) were applied.

An evaluation of borings and testing indicates that several soil layers may liquefy during the seismic event having a 2 percent probability of exceedance in 50 years. Soil layers showing potential for liquefaction during the design event are noted on the boring logs in Appendix B. Layer thicknesses and potential liquefaction-induced settlement corresponding to volumetric strain are summarized below.

5. X. A. A. P.	Thickness of Lique	efiable Layers (ft)	Calculated Liquefaction Settlement (in)			
Boring No.	Within Depth Investigated	Within Upper 50 Feet	Within Depth Investigated	Within Upper 50 Feet		
RSB-12-609	19.6	12.6	2.3	1.7		
RSB-12-610	20.2	9.3	_3.1	2.1		
RSB-12-651 *	3.7	2.2	0.2	0.1		

*Boring 651 only extended to a depth of 78 feet.

It has been noted that surficial soils in the area are mapped as suspected lateral spread deposits. A review of the boring logs does not identify a continuous layer susceptible to lateral spread in the upper 30 feet of the soil profile. Some deposits susceptible to lateral spread were encountered between depths of about 31 to 41 feet in Borings 609 and 610. Boring RSB-12-651 did not encounter soil layers exhibiting lateral spread potential. Empirical evidence indicates that significant lateral spread displacements usually are limited to sites where the top of the susceptible soil layer is within 10 meters (about 33 feet) of the ground surface (Bartlett and Youd, 1992). Due to the depths and apparent discontinuity of potentially susceptible soil layers, lateral spread mitigation is not considered necessary at this site.

6.0 FIELD AND LABORATORY TEST DATA

6.1 SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION

Subsurface investigations performed at the bridge sites include borings performed by Kleinfelder in conjunction with the Design-Build project, along with supplemental borings performed in 2006 for the current project.

Boring logs for bridge subsurface investigations performed in 2006 are included in Appendix B of this report. Test holes performed by RB&G Engineering in 2006 are labeled with the prefix "RSB" (or "RSC" for CPT holes, where applicable), followed by a number identifying the bridge site, then by a hole number in the 600 series. Logs of subsurface investigations performed by Kleinfelder are also reproduced in Appendix B and are labeled with the prefix "SB" for borings and "SC" for CPT holes, followed by the Design-Build bridge number, then the boring number. It will be noted that the 500 South site is number 12, based on the Design-Build bridge number. Roadway borings performed by Kleinfelder are labeled with the prefix "RB".

For all structure borings drilled in 2006, the subsurface investigation was performed using a CME 55 rotary drill rig with a tri-cone rock bit and NW casing to advance the boring and water as the drilling fluid. Sampling was generally performed at 5-foot intervals. At some locations, sampling was performed at closer intervals to evaluate liquefaction hazard for loose cohesionless soils in the upper 30 to 40 feet. Disturbed samples were obtained by driving a 2-inch split spoon sampling tube through a distance of 18 inches using a 140-pound weight dropped from a distance of 30 inches. The drill rig used for each boring is noted on the boring log. The automatic trip hammer on the CME-55 No. 1 rig was evaluated by UDOT using Pile Driving Analyzer equipment in March 2006 and the energy ratio was determined to be about 72%. The CME-55 No. 2 rig uses a rope and cathead hammer which was determined by UDOT to have an average energy ratio of about 55%.

The number of hammer blows required to drive the sampling spoon through each 6 inches of penetration is shown on the boring logs. The sum of the last two blow counts, which represents the number of blows to drive the sampling spoon through 12 inches, is defined as the standard penetration value. The standard penetration value, corrected for overburden and hammer energy, provides a good indication of the in-place density of sandy material; however, it only provides an indication of the relative stiffness of cohesive material, since the penetration resistance of materials of this type is a function

of the moisture content. Considerable care must be exercised in interpreting the standard penetration value in gravelly-type soils, particularly where the size of granular particles exceeds the inside diameter of the sampling spoon. If the spoon can be driven through the full 18 inches with a reasonable core recovery, the standard penetration value provides a good indication of the in-place density of gravelly-type material. For materials containing more than 35% gravel size particles, the density descriptions shown on the boring logs were developed based on correlations between relative density and standard penetration value for gravelly soils.

At some locations within the project it was not possible to drive the sampling spoon through the full 18 inches at some sampling depths. Where the sampling tube could not be driven through the full 18 inches, the number of blows to drive the spoon through a given depth of penetration is shown on the boring logs.

Undisturbed samples were obtained by pushing a 2.62-inch (inside diameter) thin-walled sampling tube into the subsurface material using the hydraulic pressure on the drill rig. The locations at which the undisturbed samples were obtained are shown on the boring logs.

Miniature vane shear (torvane) tests, which provide an indication of the undrained shearing strength of cohesive materials, were performed on samples of the cohesive soils during the field investigations. The results of these tests are shown on the boring logs as the torvane value in tsf.

Each sample obtained in the field was classified in the laboratory according to the Unified Soil Classification System. The symbols designating soil types according to this system are presented on the boring logs. A description of the Unified Soil Classification System is included with the logs (see Appendix B), and the meaning of the various symbols shown on the logs can be obtained from this figure. Laboratory-tested samples were also classified according to the AASHTO Classification System, and the symbols designating the soil types according to this system are also presented on the boring logs.

6.2 LABORATORY TESTING

Laboratory tests performed during this investigation to define the characteristics of the subsurface material included:

- 1) Mechanical Analysis
- 2) Density

- 3) Natural Moisture Content
- 4) Atterberg Limits
- 5) Unconfined Compressive Strength
- 6) Triaxial Shear
- 7) Consolidation
- 8) Direct Shear
- 9) pH, Resistivity, Sulfates, and Chlorides

Laboratory testing was performed in accordance with applicable standards published by the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) and/or the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO).

The results of laboratory tests performed during this investigation are presented on the boring logs and summarized on tables located in Appendix C of this report. Plots of applicable test data are also included in Appendix C.

7.0 STRUCTURES

7.1 DESCRIPTION

7.1.1 General

It is our understanding that Structure F-718 will be a two-span concrete bridge structure with MSE walls at each abutment. The bridge is expected to be about 55 feet wide with two 90-foot long spans, for a total bridge length of about 180 feet. Structure D-843 will be a culvert/tunnel type structure approximately 27 feet wide by 144 feet long. Controlling loads for the F-718 bridge have been provided by the structural engineer and are shown on the table below. Loads for Structure D-843 have not been provided

Structure	Foundation	Strength I (kips)	Service I (kips)	
F-718 500 South over LP	Abut 1	3225	2505	
	Bent 2	6464	5036	
	Abut 3	3225	2505	

7.1.2 Subsurface Conditions

Borings completed at the site by Kleinfelder encountered primarily medium-stiff to stiff lean to fat clay and silt interbedded with some silty sand layers in the upper 50 feet, followed by medium-stiff to very stiff clay and silt with some sand to about 100 feet. Soils encountered below 100 feet were predominantly stiff clays and silts, with occasional medium-dense sand layers up to about 8 feet thick. Boring 263 extended to a depth of 202 feet. Boring 265 extended to 253 feet. Boring 371 extended to 102 feet.

The log for <u>CPT SC-12-264</u> provided in the Kleinfelder report interpreted the subgrade soils as interbedded clay and silt in the upper 9 meters (about 30 feet), followed by sand from 9 to about 11.2 meters (about 37 feet), then interbedded clay and silt to about 29 meters (95 feet). Below 29 meters, the soils were characterized as layers of sand and silt to the bottom of the sounding at a depth of about 33.7 meters (110.5 feet) below the ground surface, where the CPT probe encountered refusal.

Boring RSB-12-609 was drilled near the proposed west abutment (Abutment 1) of Structure F-718, while Boring RSB-12-610 was drilled at the proposed center bent location (Bent 2). Both borings encountered some gravel fill at the ground

surface (up to about 4.5 feet thick), followed generally by soft to stiff lean clay with silty sand layers up to about 2 feet thick to a depth of 25 feet. Between 25 and 42 feet, the predominant soil type was silty sand and sand with silt, with interbedded soft to firm clay layers up to about 3 feet thick. The sands in these zones were generally in a medium-dense condition and susceptible to liquefaction. As noted above in Section 5.0, some deposits between about 31 and 41 feet were loose enough to indicate lateral spread potential; however, the depth and general discontinuity of these deposits reduces the likelihood of lateral spreading. The borings encountered primarily stiff lean clay from 42 to 100 feet. Below 100 feet, stiff lean clay remained the predominant soil type, with occasional layers of relatively dense sand and non-plastic sandy silt up to about 8 feet thick. Boring 609 terminated in sandy lean clay at a depth of 150 feet, while Boring 610 terminated in fat clay at 155 feet.

Boring RSB-12-651 was drilled at the proposed multi-use trail undercrossing location, and below a 2-foot surface layer of clayey gravel fill encountered primarily firm to stiff lean clay with relatively infrequent sand and silt layers up to 2.5 feet thick to the bottom of the boring at a depth of 78 feet.

It should be noted that some fat clay layers were encountered at various depths in each boring. Boring 609 encountered fat clay layers 3 to 5 feet thick at depths of about 95 and 105 feet. Boring 610 identified fat clay between depths of 149 and 155 feet. Boring 651 encountered fat clay between about 16.5 and 23 feet. The liquid limit of the fat clay samples tested in the laboratory ranged from 50 to 60, while the plasticity index was between 26 and 38.

7.1.3 Groundwater Conditions

Groundwater was encountered at a depth of 4.0 feet (about elev. 4219 feet) in RSB-12-609, at a depth of 6.7 feet (about elev. 4216.7 feet) in RSB-12-610, and at a depth of 7.2 feet (about elev. 4216.8 feet) in RSB-12-651 at the time of drilling (February-March 2006). It is anticipated that up to two feet of fluctuation may occur due to typical seasonal variations in precipitation and climatic cycles.

7.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

7.2.1 Bridge Structures

Potential foundation types at this site include shallow foundations, such as spread footings, and deep foundations, such as drilled shafts or driven piles. Due to the

magnitude of structural loads (including seismic design requirements) and generally low bearing resistance of shallow soils, deep foundations are expected to be the most efficient foundation type for major bridge structures on the project. The depth to competent bearing layers, along with foundation settlement considerations, favors the use of driven piles rather than drilled shafts. Given the anticipated subsurface soil and groundwater conditions, driven piles can be more readily installed to greater depths than drilled shaft foundations.

It is our understanding that the abutment foundations for Structure F-718 are expected to consist of a single line of 15 piles, while the bent loads will be supported by four columns on separate footings, with 20 piles beneath each footing on a 4 by 5 grid. Preliminary structure drawings indicate that large monuments to be installed at the corners and ends of abutment MSE walls will also be pile-supported, as will the culvert type multi-use trail undercrossing (D-843). The loading for the "minor" monuments at the ends of the abutment MSE walls is expected to be 52 kips Strength I and 40 kips Service Load per pile.

Recommendations for driven pile foundations are summarized below. Recommendations for shallow foundations, which may be considered for the multi-use trail underpass, are provided in Section 7.2.4.

7.2.1.1 Driven Piles

Axial compression resistance values have been estimated for 16-inch OD concrete-filled steel pipe piles. The analyses were performed using the FHWA program SPILE. Geotechnical resistance factors were selected from the 2006 Interim AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications. Estimated driving depths and factored resistance values are summarized below.

	Location					
Pile Data Parameters	F-718 Abut 1	F-718 Bent 2	F-718 Abut 3	Minor Monuments		
Estimated Pile Tip Elevation (ft)	4105	4102	4101	4182		
Elev. of Min. Acceptable Pile Penetration (ft)	4108	4105	4104	4182		
Strength I Axial Compression Resistance (kip)	335	335	335	79		
Extreme Event I Compression Resistance (kip)	484	484	484	90		
Required Driving Resistance (kip)	516	516	516	122		

It will be noted that the resistance values are the same for each abutment and bent; however, the recommended tip elevations vary across the site. If piles are used to support the D-843 trail culvert, the values shown on the table above for F-718 Abutment 3 may be used.

The recommended pile tip elevations for the minor monuments are only about 41 feet below the existing ground surface. The Strength I Resistance of 79 kips is significantly greater than the Strength I Pile load of 52 kips per pile; however, we recommend that all piles supporting axial loads extend to a tip elevation of 4182 feet or deeper to avoid bearing in or above significant liquefiable layers identified in the borings.

16 1

The estimated tip elevations for bridge foundations are located within zones of sand shown on the boring logs. While it is preferred that the observed pile driving resistance demonstrate a noticeable increase over the last 2 to 3 feet of driving (indicating that the pile tip has encountered the sand layer), such an increase is not expected to be necessary to meet pile capacity requirements. Because the sand layers at the pile tip elevations are relatively thin (only about 5 to 8 feet thick), the pile tips were assumed to be located in clay for computations of anticipated end bearing resistance. The elevation of minimum acceptable pile penetration is 3 feet above the estimated tip elevation at each foundation location. All piles should be driven to at least the minimum penetration elevation unless the geotechnical engineer approves shorter piles based on a review of tested pile driving resistance and settlement.

The estimates listed above assume that new embankments will be constructed with lightweight material and/or surcharged such that significant embankment settlement will be completed or otherwise mitigated prior to placement of structural loads on the piles.

We recommend that piles be spaced at least 3 diameters apart (center-tocenter) to reduce group effects. Potential for pile group failure under axial compression loads was checked for the following proposed pile group layouts.

- Abutments with a single row of 15 piles spaced at 4.25 feet on centers
- Bent pile groups having 20 piles on a 5 x 4 grid in an area measuring about 17.3 feet square (to outer edges of piles).

In both cases, the potential for group (block) failure was found to be less critical than the axial compressive resistance of individual piles. Group resistance can therefore be determined by multiplying the single-pile resistance by the number of piles in the group for both the Strength I and Extreme Event limit states.

A preliminary pile drivability analysis has been performed using the program GRLWEAP 2005. The analysis was performed for closed-end 16-inch OD steel pipe piles having wall thicknesses of 3/8 and 1/2 inch. The analyzed driving systems were a Delmag D 25-32 diesel hammer with the manufacturer's recommended hammer cushion, and an IHC S-90 Hydrohammer, without cushioning. The results of the analyses are summarized below.

	3/8" Pipe Thickness					1/2" Pipe Thickness				
Hammer	Ultimate Capacity (kips)	Maximum Compress. Stress (ksi)	Blow Count (per foot)	Stroke (ft)	Energy (kip-ft)	Ultimate Capacity (kips)	Maximum Compress. Stress (ksi)	Blow Count (per foot)	Stroke (ft)	Energy (kip-ft)
D 25-32	300	25.6	34	7.3	31	350	25.1	42	7.6	30
	350	26.3	52	7.5	32	400	25.6	61	7.8	30
	400	26.9	88	7.7	33	450	26.1	94	7.9	31
	430	27.2	124	7.8	33	480	26.3	122	8.0	31
	400	46.4	40	6.6	58	400	44.1	30	6.6	59
IHC S-90*	450	46.5	64	6.6	58	450	44.1	40	6.6	59
	500	46.6	109	6.6	58	515	44.2	64	6,6	59
=	515	46.6	125	6.6	58	585	44.2	117	6.6	59

* S-90 assumed to operate at 95% efficiency.

It will be observed from the table that only the IHC S-90 hammer appears capable of driving piles to the required driving resistance of 516 kips without significantly exceeding a hammer blow count of about 10 blows per inch. The calculated driving stresses are greater for the IHC S-90 hammer than for the diesel hammer, due to the lack of cushioning and greater energy transfer to the pile.

Based upon the results of the WEAP analysis, pipe piles with 3/8" wall thickness can be successfully driven to the required driving resistance with either hammer system. A refined wave equation analysis should be performed for the proposed pile driving system prior to mobilizing the pile driving rig to the site.

RB&G ENGINEERING, INC. Provo, Utah

Pile driving should be monitored to ensure that driving stresses do not exceed 90 percent of the yield strength of the steel piles. Based on the WEAP analysis, the yield strength of the steel pipe should be at least 52 ksi. The pile driving hammer should have an operating energy of at least 60 kip-ft. Special care should be taken to align the hammer properly with the pile head to limit the possibility of eccentric driving stresses, which can result in over-stressing of one side of the pile. Driving should be performed only with smooth, square ends of the piles (preferable the factory-cut ends) rather than rough field-cut pile ends.

It should be noted that piles are not expected to demonstrate the required driving resistance during initial driving. Significant set-up is likely to occur as pore pressures dissipate in the hours and days following driving, increasing the geotechnical resistance of the pile. It is anticipated that piles may be driven to the estimated tip elevation with less difficulty during initial driving conditions (prior to set-up). After set-up has occurred, it may be much more difficult to re-mobilize the pile.

7.2.1.2 Foundation Settlement

Pile resistance analyses were performed based on the neutral plane method. In this method, downdrag loads are not considered detrimental to the geotechnical pile resistance, and the resistance values above need not be reduced to account for downdrag. The effects of downdrag should, however, be accounted for in evaluations of the structural resistance of the pile section. For each of the foundation locations listed above, the axial structural resistance of the concrete-filled pipe pile section should be checked to verify that the pile section can resist the Service I Load plus a factored downdrag load of 320 kips per pile. To account for potential corrosion, we recommend that the structural capacity evaluation be performed assuming 1/16 inch of corrosion will occur on the exterior of the steel pipe.

The Extreme Event I Resistance shown above assumes that liquefiable layers will not provide resistance during seismic loading. If this value is not exceeded, it is anticipated that the principle consequences of liquefaction will be pile group settlement resulting from downdrag loads transferred from settling soil above the liquefiable layers. The pile group could potentially settle as much as the surrounding ground surface during liquefaction before the temporary downdrag loads are neutralized and the piles regain the full Extreme Event I Resistance; however, actual pile group settlement during Sec. 1

liquefaction is expected to be somewhat less than the settlement of the surrounding ground surface. The maximum estimated ground settlement due to liquefaction at this site is about 2 inches.

Consolidation settlement of an individual bent foundation at Structure F-718 was estimated assuming a 5 x 4 grid of 20 piles in an area measuring 17.3 feet square. Assuming an axial compression service load of 1259 kips acts on the footing, the calculated consolidation settlement of the pile group is about 0.8 inches. It is therefore anticipated that pile group settlement for bent footings will be less than 1 inch.

Consolidation settlement of abutment pile groups at Structure F-718 was estimated assuming a single row of 15 piles spaced at 4.25 feet on centers. In the analysis it was assumed that settlements caused by placement of embankment and MSE fill will be mitigated/completed prior to placement of bridge loads on the piles. It was also assumed that the placement of embankment fill would leave the subgrade soils in a normally-consolidated state. Assuming an axial compression service load of 2505 kips acts on the footing, the calculated settlement of the pile group is 1.5 inches. The cohesive soil layers contributing to the pile group settlement were noted to have relatively frequent sand and silt lenses and layers, and it is expected that at least 1/2 inch of the calculated consolidation settlement will occur prior to final paying of the bridge. It is also our understanding that the 2505-kip abutment service load includes some transient loads. Transient loads are not expected to contribute significantly to pile group settlement. It is therefore anticipated that the post-construction pile group settlement will not exceed one inch.

Consolidation settlement of the pile groups supporting minor monuments was calculated assuming a group of six piles in a plan area 15.3 feet long by 10.3 feet wide supporting total group service load of 240 kips. In the analysis it was assumed that settlements caused by placement of embankment and MSE fill will be mitigated/completed prior to placement of bridge loads on the piles. It was also assumed that the placement of embankment fill would leave the subgrade soils in a normally-consolidated state. The calculated pile group settlement for the minor monument foundations was 1.25 inches. It is anticipated that at least 1/4 inch of this settlement will occur prior to completion of the project, and that the post-construction settlement will not exceed one inch.

7.2.1.3 Uplift

Uplift capacities for individual piles computed using LRFD Procedures are 131 kips per pile for the Strength I limit state and 466 kips per pile for Extreme Event I. A resistance factor of 0.35 was used for sandy soils, and a factor of 0.25 was used for clayey soils at the Strength I limit state.

Group uplift resistance for the case of block failure was evaluated by estimating the weight of each pile group plus the shear resisting force around the perimeter of the pile group for the proposed pile groups as follows:

- Abutments with a single row of 15 piles spaced at 5.25 feet on centers
- Bent pile groups having 20 piles on a 5 x 4 grid in an area measuring about 17.3 feet square (to outer edges of piles).

In each case, the uplift resistance of the group (block failure) was found to be greater than the sum of the uplift resistance values of individual piles in the group. It is therefore recommended that the uplift resistance for pile groups at these structures be assumed equal to the uplift resistance of a single pile multiplied by the number of piles in the group.

7.2.1.4 Lateral Loading

Soil parameters and other recommendations for evaluation of lateral load response using the computer programs LPILE and GROUP are included on a summary sheet in Appendix D.

7.2.1.5 Load Tests

Table 10.5.5.2.3-3 of the 2006 AASHTO LRFD Interim Specifications shows the number of dynamic pile load tests with signal matching required at each site. The number of required PDA tests depends on site variability and the number of piles to be driven. With respect to the AASHTO table, the sites of the proposed 500 South Street structures can be considered to have low variability. For Structure F-718, the minimum number of tests is 4. Additional PDA testing may be necessary if pile driving conditions indicate significant variability in the soil profile at a given abutment or bent. Pile resistance and driving criteria from PDA testing should be determined from "Beginning of Restrike" conditions. A minimum of 24 hours set-up time will likely be required after initial driving before piles demonstrate the required driving resistance, and additional time may be necessary in some instances.

7.2.1.6 Construction Considerations

Groundwater was encountered within 4 to 7 feet of the existing ground surface at the time of drilling, and dewatering may be required for construction of pile caps at Bent 2 and other construction activities.

It is recommended that the groundwater be lowered to a depth of 2 feet below the bottom of the excavations. It is anticipated that dewatering can best be achieved using sumps and drain trenches where clay exists at the foundation level.

Soils at the bottom of excavations may be too soft to provide an adequate working surface. Stabilization methods will depend upon conditions encountered. Moderately soft areas can be stabilized by over excavating the foundation footprint to a depth of about 1 foot, placing a geotextile fabric such as Mirafi 500X or equal and backfilling with compacted sandy gravel. Very soft areas may be stabilized by tamping cobble rock (preferably angular to subangular) into the subgrade as needed. As a minimum, it is recommended that an 8 inch layer of granular borrow be placed below the pile cap to provide a working platform.

Depending upon construction sequence and methods employed, excavation and shoring of embankment preload fill may be necessary. Maximum excavation slopes in compacted granular fill material of 1H:1V can be used for temporary cuts less than 20 feet deep. For temporary cuts between 20 and 30 feet deep, 1.5H:1V cut slopes should be used. The stability of cuts in uncompacted fill and/or natural subgrade soils should be evaluated on a caseby-case basis.

We recommend that preconstruction surveys and vibration monitoring be performed for any critical utilities located within 500 feet of the construction area.

7.2.2 Embankments

Analyses and recommendations for embankments are provided in a separate report by Kleinfelder.

7.2.3 Retaining Walls

Analyses and recommendations for retaining walls are provided in a separate report by Kleinfelder.

7.2.4 Tunnels / Culverts

The Multi-Use Trail undercrossing structure at 500 South Street (D-843) may be supported on pile foundations using the recommendations of Section 7.2.1 above. Alternatively, consideration may be given to supporting the structure on the clayey natural subgrade soils using the culvert floor as a mat-type foundation. Recommended subgrade parameters for this option are as follows:

Average Undrained Shear Strength: 600 psf Nominal Bearing Resistance: 3084 psf Coefficient of Subgrade Reaction[.] 35 pci

The Strength I Bearing Resistance can be estimated by multiplying the nominal resistance shown above by a resistance factor of 0.50. The bearing resistance values listed herein are applicable to structures placed on the existing subgrade soils prior to placement of roadway embankment fill around the structures. It should be noted that the placement of roadway embankment fill will consolidate subgrade soils, and the clayey and silty soils will gain strength with consolidation. If roadway embankments adjacent to the culverts are constructed in such a manner that loads from the roadway fill weight do not exceed the bearing resistance of the subgrade, bearing resistance will not be critical for the culverts. At some locations, staged construction, lightweight embankment fill, or subgrade reinforcement/modification may be necessary to provide sufficient bearing capacity for the new fill and the buried culverts.

The estimated coefficient of subgrade reaction shown above is for a 12-inch square footing area and is based on typical values for the shallow subgrade soils encountered at the site. The coefficient of subgrade reaction can be increased to

70 pci by over-excavating and placing 12 inches of compacted granular fill beneath the structure

It is anticipated that significant consolidation settlement may occur due to placement of new roadway embankment at some locations, and that differential and total settlement considerations may control the design of the box culverts If structures cannot be designed to tolerate the anticipated settlements, it may be advisable to preload the culvert subgrade area with temporary embankment fill, allow consolidation to occur, and then excavate the temporary fill to construct the culverts

7 2 5 Lateral Earth Pressures

Lateral earth pressures can generally be calculated using the equation

$$P = \frac{1}{2} \gamma K H^2$$

Where P = total lateral force on the wall, plf K = earth pressure coefficient $\gamma = \text{unit weight of the soil (depends on fill material)}$ H = height of the wall

The earth pressure coefficient used in designing the walls will depend upon whether the wall is free to move during backfilling operations, or whether the wall is restrained during backfilling. If the wall is free to move away from the soil during backfilling operations, we recommend that an active earth pressure coefficient be used in the above equation to calculate the lateral earth pressures. If the walls are restrained or braced from movement during backfilling (as is generally the case with box culverts and similar structures), we recommend that an at-rest earth pressure coefficient be used to calculate the lateral earth pressures. A passive earth pressure coefficient should be used to calculate the lateral soil resistance where the wall is being pushed toward the soil. It should be recognized that the pressures, calculated by the above equation, are earth pressures only and do not include hydrostatic pressures. Where hydrostatic pressures may exist behind a retaining structure, we recommend either the wall be designed to resist hydrostatic pressure, or that a drainage system be placed behind the wall to prevent the development of hydrostatic pressures

Lateral earth pressure coefficients and other recommendations for computing lateral earth pressures are included in Appendix D A general earth pressure coefficient has been provided for calculation of earth pressures where mechanical compaction equipment is expected to be operated near non-yielding walls less than about 8 feet high This scenario is anticipated during placement of fill around culverts The residual pressure from compaction equipment can be reduced by limiting the proximity and weight of compacting equipment near culvert walls

Recommendations based on the Mononobe-Okabe approach for active and passive seismic lateral earth forces are included in Appendix D For non-yielding walls, recommended equations for calculating the dynamic thrust and dynamic overturning moment are also provided

8.0 CORROSION INVESTIGATIONS

In order to obtain an indication of the corrosive nature of the subsurface material at these sites, resistivity, pH, sulfate, and chloride tests were performed on soil samples obtained in the Test Holes. The results of these tests are tabulated below

Test Hole	Depth (ft)	Soil Type	Resistivity ohm-cm			Chloride (ppm)
RSB-12-609	5-6 5	Lean Clay	15,578	95	113	142
RSB-12-609	58 5-60	Silty Clay	19,467	8 1		78
RSB-12-610	98 5-100	Silty Sand	20,765	77		54

The 2006 Interim LRFD specifications state that resistivity less than 2,000 ohm-cm, sulfate concentration greater than 1,000 ppm, and pH less than 5 5 (8 5 in highly organic soils) are all indicative of potential pile corrosion or deterioration. Due to the high resistivity and pH of tested samples, unusual potential for corrosion/deterioration of steel piles is not anticipated at this site. Type I or Type II cement may be used for concrete at this site, however Type II cement is preferred for its superior resistance to deterioration. For design of driven piles, it is recommended that 1/16 inch of corrosion be assumed for all surfaces in contact with soil or groundwater. This reduction has been accounted for in the pile analyses described in Section 7211

9.0 LIMITATIONS

The conclusions and recommendations presented in this report are based upon the results of the field and laboratory tests. It should be recognized that soil materials are inherently heterogeneous and that conditions may exist throughout this site which were not defined during this investigation. If during construction, conditions are encountered which appear to be different than those presented in this report, it is requested that we be advised in order that appropriate action may be taken.

The information contained in this report is provided for the specific location and purpose of the client named herein and is not intended or suitable for reuse by any other person or entity whether for the specified use, or for any other use Any such unauthorized reuse, by any other party is at that party's sole risk and RB&G Engineering, Inc does not accept any liability or responsibility for its use.

- AASHTO, 2006 AASHTO LRFD bridge design specifications, 3rd edition with 2006 interim revisions, Washington, D C
- Bartlett, SF, and Youd, TL, 1992, Empirical analysis of horizontal ground displacement generated by liquefaction-induced lateral spreads, Technical Report NCEER-92-0021, August 17, 1992
- Black B D, Hecker S, Hylland, M D, Christenson, G E, McDonald, G N, 2003, Quaternary fault and fold database and map of Utah Utah Geological Survey, map 193DM scale 1 500,000
- Chang, W, and Smith, R B, 1998, Potential for tectonically induced tilting and flooding by the Great Salt Lake, Utah, from large earthquakes on the Wasatch fault Proceedings volume
 Basin and Range Province seismic-hazards summit Utah Geological Survey Miscellaneous Publication 98-2
- Currey, D R Atwood, G And Mabey, D R, 1984, Major levels of the Great Salt Lake and Lake Bonneville Utah Geological and Mineral Survey Map 73, scale 1 750,000
- Davis, FD, 1983, Geologic map of the central Wasatch Front, Utah Utah Geological and Mineral Survey Map 54-A, scale 1 100,000
- Harty, K M, and Lowe, M, 2003, Geologic evaluation and hazard potential of liquefactioninduced landslides along the Wasatch Front, Utah Utah Geological Survey Special Study 104, 40 p
- Hintze, L F 1988, Geologic history of Utah Brigham Young University Geology Studies Special Publication 7, 202 p (reprinted 1993)
- Keaton, J.R., 1987, Potential consequences of earthquake-induced regional tectonic deformation along the Wasatch Front, North-Central Utah Logan, Utah State Universitym Final technical report to the U S Geological Survey, National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program, Grant 14-08-0001-G1174
- Lin, A , and Wang, P , 1978, Wind tides of the Great Salt Lake Utah Geology, v 5, no 1, p 17-25

- Nelson, A R, and Personius, S F, 1993, Surficial geologic map of the Weber segment of the Wasatch fault zone, Weber and Davis Counties, Utah U S Geological Survey Miscellaneous Investigations Series Map I-2199 scale 1 50,000
- Personius, S F, and Scott, W E, 1992, Surficial geologic map of the Salt Lake City segment and parts of adjacent segments of the wasatch fault zone, Davis, Salt Lake, and Utah Counties, Utah[•] U S Geological Survey Miscellaneous Investigations Series Map I-2106 scale 1 50,000
- Seed, HB, and Idriss, IM, 1971, "Simplified Procedure for Evaluating Soil Liquefaction Potential," Journal of the Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division, ASCE, Vol 97, No SM9, p 1249-1273
- Seed, H B, and Idriss, I M, 1982, "Ground Motions and Soil Liquefaction During Earthquakes," Earthquake Engineering Research Institute Monograph
- Stokes, W L , 1986, Geology of Utah Utah Museum of Natural History and Utah Geological and Mineral Survey, 307 p
- United States Geological Survey, 2002, National Seismic Hazard Mapping Project, http://equit.cr.usgs.gov/eq/html/lookup-2002-interp.html, (July 2006)
- Youd, T L, Hansen, C M, and Bartlett, S F, 2002, "Revised MLR Equations for Prediction of Lateral Spread Displacement," Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, ASCE, v 128, no 12, p 1007-1017
- Youd, T L, Idriss, I M Andrus, R D Arango, I, Castro, G, Christian, J T, Dobry, R, Liam Finn, W D L, Harder, L F, Jr, Hynes, M E, Ishihara, K, Koester, J P, Liao, S S C, Marcuson, W F, III, Martin, G R, Mitchell, J K, Moriwaki, Y, Power, M S, Robertson, P K, Seed, R B, Stokoe, K H, II, 1997, "Summary Report," Proceedings of the NCEER Workshop on Evaluation of Liquefaction Resistance of Soils, National Center for Earthquake Engineering Research Technical Report NCEER-97-0022, p 1-40

FIGURES


INC. Provo, Utah Figure 1 Vicinity Map Proposed Legacy Parkway Alignment Legacy Parkway Salt Lake / Davis Counties, Utah





Figure 2a Geologic Map A 500 South Structures Legacy Parkway Salt Lake / Davis Counties, Utah

Map modified from: Davis, 1983 Utah Geological and Mineral Survey





Figure 2b Geologic Map B 500 South Structures Legacy Parkway Salt Lake / Davis Counties, Utah

Maps modified from:

Upper Left - Davis, 1983 (Utah Geological & Mineral Survey) Uppper Right - Nelson & Personius, 1993 (US Geological Survey) Bottom - Personius & Scott, 1992 (US Geological Survey)



RB&G ENGINEERING INC. Provo, Utah Figure 2c Geologic Map C North Salt Lake Landslides Legacy Parkway Salt Lake / Davis Counties, Utah

Map modified from: Harty & Lowe, 1992



Figure 3 Site Plan and Approximate Test Hole Locations 500 South Structures Legacy Parkway Salt Lake / Davis Counties, Utah

RB&G

ENGINEERING

INC.

Provo, Utah

APPENDIX A Structure Drawings

F-718

500 South over Legacy Parkway









D-843

500 South over Multi-Use Trail

INDEX OF SHEETS 1. SITUATION & LAYOUT 1 2. SITUATION & LAYOUT 2

SOIL DATA SHEET
 FOUNDATION PLAN
 BARREL DETAILS

QUANTITIE			
ITEN	OLIANT.	UNIT	AS CONST.
STRUCTURAL CONCRETE (EST. OTY. 425 CU YD)	1	LS	
REINFORCING STEEL (EPOXY COATED)	106250	LB	
GRANELAR BACKFILL BORROW	XX	ÇY	
PILE DRIVING EQUIPMENT	1	LS	
DRIVEN PILES	X	FT-	

GENERAL NOTES

- 1. USE COATED. DEFORMED BILLET-STEEL BARS IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM A615. GRADE 60. EPOXY COATED IN ACCORDANCE WITH AASHTO M 284.
- 2. PROVIDE STEEL FOR DRIVEN PIPE PILES CONFORMING TO ASTM A-252. GRADE 3. fy= 45.000 PSI.
- 3. PROVIDE 2 INCH COVER TO REINFORCING STEEL EXCEPT WHERE NOTED DTHERWISE,
- 4. CHAMFER EXPOSED CONCRETE CORNERS 3/4 INCH EXCEPT WHERE NOTED OTHERWISE.
- 5. USE CLASS AA (AE) CAST-IN-PLACE CONCRETE.
- 6. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN FEET AND INCHES. ALL STATIONS AND ELEVATIONS ARE IN FEET.
- 7. SEE ROADWAY PLANS FOR TRAIL DETAILS.
- 8. DRAWINGS ARE NOT TO SCALE. HORIZONTAL DIMENSIONS ARE PLAN DIMENSIONS AND VERTICAL DIMENSIONS ARE PLUMB.
- 9. PROVIDE GRANULAR BACKFILL BORROW TO MEET UDDT'S CRITERIA FOR FREE DRAINING GRANULAR BACKFILL BORROW, SPECIFICATION 02061.

DESIGN DATA

HL-93 IN ACCORDANCE WITH 3rd EDITION AASHTO LRFD AND INTERIM SPECIFICATIONS THROUGH 2006.

CAST-IN-PLACE CONCRETE:	f'c = 4000 PS1: Fy (REINF.) = 60,000 PS1: n = 8
DESIGN MAXIMUM COVER	= 7.10'
DESIGN MINIMUM COVER	= 5.72'
SOIL DRY UNIT WEIGHT	= XX #/CF
SOIL SUBMERGED UNIT WEIGHT	= XX #/CF





1 or _







APPENDIX B Test Hole Logs

Unified Soil Classification System

	Major Divisions		Gro Sym I		Typical Names	Laborai	ory Classification	Criteria
		Clean Gravels	GI	v	Well graded gravels, gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines	For laboratory classification of coarse-grained soils	$C_{y} = \frac{D_{60}}{D_{10}}$ $C_{c} = \frac{(D_{00})^{2}}{D_{10} \times D_{60}}$	Greater than 4 Between 1 and 3
	Gravels more than half of coarse	little of no fines	G	P	Poorly graded gravels, gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines	Determine percentage of	Not meeting all gr requirements for	
	fraction is larger than No 4 sieve size	Gravels With Fines	GM*	d u	Silty gravels, poorly graded gravel-sand-silt mixtures	gravel and sand from grain-size curve	Atterberg limits below "A" line, or PI less than 4	Above "A" line wit P1 between 4 and 7 sre borderline
COARSE- GRAINED SOILS		appreclable amount of fittes	G	C	Clayey gravels, poorly graded gravel-sand-clay mixtures	Depending on percentage of fines (fraction smaller than No. 200 sieve size), coarse-	Atterberg limits above "A" line, or PI greater	cases requiring uses of dual symbols
more than half of material is larger than No. 200 sieve		Clean Sanda	sı	N	Well graded sands, gravelly sands, little or no fines	grained soils are classified as follows: Less than 5% GW, GP, SW, SP	$C_u = \frac{D_{60}}{D_{10}}$ $C_c = \frac{(D_{g0})^2}{D_{10} \times D_{60}}$	Greater than 6 Between 1 and 3
	Sands more than half of coarse	flues	s	P	Poorly graded sunds, gravelly sunds, little or no fines	More than 12% GM, GC, SM, SC	Not meeting all gr requirements for	
	fraction is smaller than No 4 sieve size	Sands with Flacs	SM*	d u	Silty sands, poorly graded sand-silt mixtures	5% to 12% Borderline cases requiring use of dual symbols**	Atterberg lintils below "A" line, or PT less than 4	Above "A" line wi Pl between 4 and 7 are borderline
		nppresiable umount of fines	S	c	Claycy sands, poorly graded sand-clay mixtures		Atterberg limits above "A" line, or PI greater	cases requiring uses of dual symbols
			м	L	Inorganic sills and very fine sands, rock flour, silty or elayey fine sands or clayey silts with slight plasticity	For laboratory classification of fina-grained soils		
FINE-	lign id	d Clays lim it is tran 50	С	L	Inorganic clays of low to medium plasticity, gravelly clays, sandy clays, silty clays, lean clays	60		
GRAINED SOILS more than			0	L	Organic silts and organic silt-cloys of low plasticity	40 40 blasbicity Index	CI DI	
half of moterial is smaller than No. 200 sieve			M	H	Inorganic sills, micaceous or diatomaceous fine sandy or silly soils, chatic sills		DL of AIL	CH of MH
	ligu id	d Clays limit is than 30	C	н	Inorganic clays of high plassicity, fat clays		Liquid Limit Plasticity Cl	
			0	H	Organic clays of medium to high plasticity, organic silts		I lasticity Cl	and t
HIG	LV ORGANIC SC	DILS	P	ŕt	Peat and other highly organic soils			

*Division of GM and SM groups into subdivisions of d and U for roads and airfields only. Subdivision is based on Atterberg limits; suffix d used when liquid limit is 28 or less and the Pl is 6 or less, the suffix U used when liquid limit is greater than 28.

**Borderline classification: Soils possessing characteristics of two groups are designated by combinations of group symbols. (For example GW-GC, well graded gravel-sand mixture with clay biner.)

O:\Charts\UscsORIGINAL.wpd

RB&G ENGINEERING, INC. 2/5/99

NEW TEST HOLES

(2006)

F-718

500 South over Legacy Parkway

					IURE F-718 (500 S. OVER LEGACY PARKW ISPORTATION	PROJE	CT NL	IMBE	R: 2			ET	1 01	F
	The second		88, E 52,813	_		DATE S				2/27/		1		
DRILLI	NG MET	HOD:	CME-55 NO	0.1/N.W	/. CASING	DATE C	OMP	LETE	D: 2	2/28/	06			
	R: <u>T. K</u>					GROUN								_
DEPTH	TO WAT	FER -	INITIAL: ¥		AFTER 24 HOURS: ¥ 4.0'	LOGGE	DBY	<u>B.</u>						10
	λβ		Sample	t I			sity	(%)	Att	ter.	-	adati		
	Depth (ft)	Type Rec (in)		USCS (AASHTO)	Material Description		Dry Density (pcf)	Moisture Content (%)	Liquid Limit	Plast Index	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Silt/Clay (%)	
4220	100 P.	1	1 10,11,10,(43)) GM	reddish-brown, moist, med. dense SILTY GRAVEL W/SANI	D								
4215 -	5-	T.	3 5,7,9,(33) 0.53	CL	red to gray, moist, stiff									Resu
1111	10-	17	7 Pushed 0.23	CL (A-6(20))	LEAN CLAY gray, very moist, soft		93.3	27	39	20	o	4	96	1
4210 -	15-		5 5,4,2,(11) 0.27	SM CL	brown, wet, loose SILTY SAND gray, moist, soft									
4205	20-	16	6 Pushed 0.34	CL (A-7-6(21))	gray, moist, firm LEAN CLAY		72.2	45.7	43	25	0	17	83	
	25-	1	6 2,4,4,(12) 0.60	CL	gray, moist, stiff									
4195 — - - 4190 —	30		8 Pushed 3 5,7,10,(22)	SM SM (A-4(0))	gray, wet, med. dense dk. gray, wet, med. SILTY SAND dense			25.2		NP	0	57	43	
4185 —	35-	11	8 6,6,9,(18) 0.27	SM CL	dk. gray, wet, med. dense brown, moist, soft LEAN CLAY									
	40-	18	8 1,3,4,(8) 0.59	SP-SM (A-2-4(0)) CL	SAND W/SILT gray, wet, loose grayish-brown, moist, stiff			27.1		NP	0	89	11	
4180 -	45	× 12	2 Pushed 0.54	CL (A-6(19))	gray, moist, stiff		99.1	25.7	37	18	0	1	99	
4175 -	V	18	8 4,5,5,(10)	CL	gray, moist, stiff									

PROJ	JECT:	LEG	ACY	PARKWAY	- STRUCT	TURE F-718 (500 S.	OVER LEGACY PARKWAY)						SHE	ET	2 0	F
CLIEN	NT: U	TAH	DEP	ARTMENT	OF TRAN	ISPORTATION		PROJE	CT NU	IMBE	R: 2	2006	01.	112	-	_
				38, E 52,81	and the second	2 2 5 E 4 1 2		DATE S								_
1.000			1. The second	CME-55 N	0.1/N.W	/. CASING		DATE C				_				_
DRILL		1.1.1			4.01	AFTED ALL		GROUN						-	-	_
DEPI	1	VVAIL	- IX - 1	NITIAL: ¥ Sample		AFTER 24 HC	OURS: ¥ 4.0'	LOGGE	D BA:	-	AH	ter.	-	s, J. adati		1
Elevi	Death	ABO	T		1				nsity (Jre (%)	-				(%)	
Elev. (ft)	Depth (ft)	Lithology	Type Rec. (in)	See Legend	USCS (AASHTO)		aterial Description		Dry Density (pcf)	Moisture Content (%)	Liquid Limit	Plast, Index	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Silt/Clay (
1		U					LEAN CLAY									
4170 -	- 55 -		× 12	Pushed 0.65	CL-ML (A-4(1))	gray, moist, stiff	SANDY SILTY CLAY		114.9	16.3	24	6	o	47	53	,
4165 -	60-		15	7,8,9,(16) 0.71	CL-ML	gray, moist, stiff										
4160 — - -	- 65 -	17 17	× 12	Pushed 0.86	CL (<i>A-6(18)</i>)	gray, moist, stiff			113.1	16.5	35	20	0	6	94	
4155 —	70-		15	3,8,7,(13) 0.82 0.65	GL	gray to brown, moist, stiff	LEAN CLAY W/SILT LENSES TO 0.5" APART	S 0.13"								
4150 -	75-		18	Pushed 0.40	CL (A-6(11))	gray-brown, moist, firm			107.2	21.7	30	13	0	8	92	
4145 -	80-		18	3,6,7,(10) 1.21 0.74	CL	gray-brown, moist, stiff	LEAN CLAY									
4140 -	85-		X 18	0.87 Pushed 5,12,11,(17) 1.07	CL CL,SM CL,SM	gray-brown, moist, stiff green, moist/wet, stiff/med. dense green & brown,	INTERBEDDED LEAN CLAY SILTY SAND LAYERS 1" TO									
4135 -	1	EZ.		0.68		moist/wet, stiff/med.	THICK									
-	90-		18	4,8,7,(11) 0.50	SM CL	gray, wet, med. dense blue-green, moist, stiff	SILTY SAND LEAN CLAY W/FEW VERY 1 SILTY SAND LENSES	THIN								
4130	95-		18	Pushed 0.86	CH (A-7-6(43))	blue-gray, moist, stiff	FAT CLAY		87.6	34.2	60	38	0	0	100	1
-		4														
4125 -	-	17	18	6,10,16,(18)	CL,SM	stiff	LEAN CLAY W/SILTY SAND LAYERS 1" TO 3" THICK									
Γ	-	F	ENG	RB&G SINEER INC.	ING	LEGEND: DISTURBED	0 SAMPLE 2,3,2,(6) ← Blow 0.45 ← (N,) 0.45 ← Torv	Count per To Value ane (tsf)	6"			UC = CT = DS = TS =	Cons Direc Triaxi = Cal	onfined solidati at Shea ial Shea lifornia	ar	ng

							ISPORTATION	OVER LEGACY PARKWAY)	PROJEC	CT NL	JMBE	R: 1	2006			3 0	
					, E 52,813				DATE S				2/27/				
DRIL	LING	METH	OD	: <u>C</u>	ME-55 NC	0.1/N.W	V. CASING		DATE C	OMP	LETE	D: 2	2/28	/06			
	LER:								GROUN	DEL	EVAT	ION	:	1223	5"		
DEPT	гн то	WATE	R-	INI		4.0'	AFTER 24 HC	OURS: ¥ 4.0'	LOGGE	DBY	B. H	HOF	RO	CKS	s, J.	BOO	DNI
		2	-	-	Sample					AL.	(%)		ter.	-	adati		14
Elev. (ft)	Depth (ft)	Lithology	Type	Rec. (in)	See Legend	USCS (AASHTO)		aterial Description		Dry Density (pcf)	Moisture Content (%)	Liquid Limit	Plast. Index	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Silt/Clay (%)	Other Tests
		Ð						LEAN CLAY W/SILTY SAND _LAYERS 1" TO 3" THICK)								
4120 -		1486		18	Pushed	SP (A-3(0))	gray, wet	SAND			19.2		NP	0	96	4	
	- 105 -			18	0.86	(A-7-6(28))	slight greenish-gray, moist, stiff	FAT CLAY		97.3	28.3	50	26	0	5	95	U
4115 -	- 110 -			16	4,9,12,(14) 0.70	CL	brown-gray, moist, stiff	LEAN CLAY									
- 4110 -			X	12	Pushed 0.76	CL (A-6(11))	brown-gray, moist, stiff	SANDY LEAN CLAY			22.1	35	16	2	21	77	
4105 -	- 115	14			0	1											
-	120			16 1	17,26,25,(33)	SM (A-2-4(0))	green-gray, wet, very dense	SILTY SAND	1121		19,8		NP	Ö	87	13	
4100 -	- 125 -		X	16	Pushed 0.74	CL (<i>A-4(6)</i>)	brown-gray, moist, stilf			102.6	23.9	29	7	0	6	94	C
4095 — -	- 130 -			18	5,6,7,(8) 0.65	CL	gray, moist, stiff										
		VIA						LEAN CLAY W/OCCASIONA LENSES	AL SILT								
4090 — - -	- 135 -		X	18	Pushed 0.76	CL (A-6(11))	gray, moist, stiff			92	27.2	32	12	0	5	95	C
4085 - - -	- 140 -			16 5	5,10,14,(14) 0.81	CL.	gray to brown, moist, stiff										
4080 -	- 145 -			18 9	9,13,23,(21)	ML (A-4(0))	brown, moist, dense	SANDY SILT W/SILT LENSE	=====		26.6		NP	1	19	80	
4075 -				17 1	18,14,14,(16) 1.26	SP-SM CL	gray, wet, dense gray, moist, very stiff	SAND W/SILT									
ſ			N		RB&G		LEGEND: DISTURBED		v Count per ₆₀ Value vane (tsf)	6"			UC = CT = DS =	Cons Direc		ar	oress

								OVER LEGACY PARKWAY)				-		-		10	F 4
	1000						SPORTATION		PROJEC						112		_
		-			9, E 52,903	10000	DI TUEN OME 55 I	NO. 1 / N.W. CASING	DATE S				2/28/				
					ON, T. KEP		Z THEN GME-55 P	10. 17 N.W. CASING	DATE C			1.00			41		_
							AFTER 24 H	OURS: ¥ 6.7'	GROUN								_
ULT.	T	I	T		Sample		AFTEN 64 IN	JUKS. * 0.1	LUGGL	001.	1	1	ter.	1	adati	ien.	_
Elev. (ft)	Depth (ft)	Lithology	Type	Rec. (in)	1	USCS (AASHTO)		laterial Description		Dry Density (pcf)	Moisture Content (%)	Liquid Limit	Plast Index	Gravel (%)		Silt/Clay (%)	Other Tests
4220 -		0751	Y	17	12,24,32,(87)	GM ML	tan, drv. verv dense gray/black, dry, very dense	SILTY GRAVEL W/SAND				1	a			S	
2	5-		X	12	Pushed 0.90	CL (A-7-6(23))	gray-brown, moist, stiff			98.4	27.3	41	22	0	2	98	CT UC
4215 -	10-	V		17	3,2,1,(4) 0.21	CL	gray-brown, moist, soft	LEAN CLAY									
4210 -			X	20	Pushed 0.47	CL (A-6(17))	blue-gray, moist, firm			101.1	28.6	36	17	0	3	97	UC
4205 -	20		NANA A	19	2,2,2,(4) 0.39	CL	blue-gray, moist, firm	LEAN CLAY W/SILTY SAND LAYERS TO 1.5" THICK)								
4200 -			NN	15	3,6,9,(15) 0.39	CL	blue-gray, moist, firm										
4195 -			X	10	Pushed	SM (A-2-4(0))	blue-gray, wet	SILTY SAND W/CLAY LAYE 1" THICK	RS TO		22.1		NP	0	79	21	
	30-		1	16	3,2,3,(4) 0.47	CL CL	blue-gray, moist, firm blue-gray, moist, firm	LEAN CLAY									
4190 -				18	5,4,8,(10) 0.25	SM ML (A-7-5(12)) SM	gray & dk. brown, wet, med. dense gray, wet, med. dense gray & dk. brown, wet,	SILTY SAND SANDY SILT W/DARK BRON ORGANIC LENSES & LAYE			36.5	43	12	0	14	86	
	35-		X	17	Pushed	SM	med. dense gray, wet	SILTY SAND									
4185 -	40-			18 18	3,4,2,(5) 9,18,21,(31) 0.12	SM SP-SM (A-2-4(0))	gray, wet, loose gray, wet, dense	SAND W/SILT			21.2		NP	0	89	11	
4180 -		V		20	4,7,11,(14) 0.88	SP-SM CL	gray, wet, med. dense brown-gray, moist, stiff										
	45		X	16	Pushed 0,78	CL (A-6(10))	gray, moist, stiff	LEAN CLAY		90.7	28.2	29	11	0	2	98	CT
4175 -		1	NYN N					LEAN CLAY W/SAND LENS	ES								
[-	•	EP		RB&G	ING	LEGEND: DISTURBED	D SAMPLE 2.3.2,(6) - Blow 0.45 - (N,), Torv	w Count per) ₈₀ Value vane (tsf)	6"			UC = CT = DS = TS =	Conse Direct Triaxia	onfined olidation t Sheatial Sheatial	ar	

			-		OG PARKWAY	- STRUC	TURE F-718 (500 S.	OVER LEGACY PARKWAY)	BO	KIN	GN	U.				20	
							ISPORTATION		PROJE	CT NL	IMBE	R: 2					
LOC	ATIO	N: <u>N</u>	36	9,78	9, E 52,903	3			DATE S	TART	ED:	1	2/28/	06			
							2' THEN CME-55 N	O. 1 / N.W. CASING	DATE C	OMP	LETE	D: _	3/3/0	6	_		_
					ON, T. KER				GROUN				-				_
DEP	THT	AWC	TER	R - IN			AFTER 24 HC	OURS: ¥ <u>6.7'</u>	LOGGE	DBY	C.S	G	.P.,	-			_
		2	-	1	Sample		-			sity	6%		ter.		adat		-
Elev, (ft)	Dep (ft		Tuno	Rec. (in)	See Legend	USCS (AASHTO)		aterial Description		Dry Density (pcf)	Moisture Content (%)	Liquid Limit	Plast Index	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Silt/Clay (%)	Othor Toolo
	1 1 1	-	Z	13	0.27 6,8,14,(16)	CL ML	gray, moist, soft gray, moist, med. dense	SILT W/CLAY LENSES									
170 -	55	1		18	Pushed 0.55	CL (A-6(5))	gray, moist, stiff	SANDY LEAN CLAY W/SAN LAYERS TO 6" THICK	D	104.8	18.2	29	13	0	42	58	с
165 -	60	14		8	8,12,15,(18) 0.89	CL	gray, moist, stiff	er (a (million flame feeles) est	land fairfa								
160 -	65	YUU			Pushed	CL											
155 -				(19	0.75	(A-6(17))	gray to brown, moist, stiff			107.7	21.9	34	19	0	8	92	CL
	- 70			16	10,20,28,(29) 1.27	CL	brown to gray, moist, very stiff										
150 -	75			18	Pushed 0.59	CL (A-6(14))	gray, moist, stiff			111.7	18.7	33	18	0	15	85	U
145 -	80			19	3,5,9,(10) 0.44 0.90	CL	brown, moist, stiff	LEAN CLAY W/OCCASIONA & SAND LENSES	LOLI								
140 -	85	11111		16	Pushed 0.90	CL (A-6(18))	brown, moist, stiff			105.2	22	36	19	0	7	93	c
135 -	90		ANNA	21	4,5,7,(8) 0.85	CL	gray, moist, stiff										
130 -	95			13	Pushed 0.95	CL	dk. gray, moist, stiff										
125 -	-		A DESCRIPTION OF	18	3,12,24,(24)	SM	dk. gray, wet, dense	SILTY SAND									
		P	EI	NG	RB&G INEER INC. PROVO, UTAH	ING	LEGEND: DISTURBED	SAMPLE 2,3,2,2(6) (N ₁)e 0.45 (Torv	Count per Value ane (tsf)	6"			UC = CT = DS = TS =	Conso Direct Triaxia = Calif = Pote	nfinec olidati t Shea al She fornia ential	ar	ng R

				and the second second	ALC: NOT A		OVER LEGACY PARKWAY)		OT MI						3 OF	7 2
				9, E 52,903		ISPORTATION		PROJE						12		_
						2' THEN CME-55 N	O. 1 / N.W. CASING	DATE C			_	2/28/		_		-
			-	ON, T. KEP		fa ittelt with a set of		GROUN						l'		-
	and the second second					AFTER 24 HO	OURS: ¥ 6.7'	LOGGE								_
				Sample	1						1 1	ter.	-	adati	on	
Elev. (fi)	Depth (ft)	Tvpe	Rec. (in)	See Legend	USCS (AASHTO)		aterial Description		Dry Density (pcf)	Moisture Content (%)	Liquid Limit	Plast Index	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Sit/Clay (%)	Other Tests
	1-1-1						SILTY SAND								07	ī
4120 -	105	A	12	Pushed 0.90	CL (A-7-6(24))	dk. gray, moist, stiff	LEAN CLAY		89.2	30.1	44	24	0	5	95	CU
4115 -	110		16	10,15,21,(24) 0.30	ML (A-4(3))	brown, moist, firm/dense	SILT			22.8	25	4	0	6	94	
4110 -	115		19	4,7,11,(12) 0.78	CL	gray, moist, stiff	LEAN CLAY									
4105 -	120		14	Pushed 3,8,14,(13)	CL SM SM (A-2-4(0))	gray to black, wet, med. dense			-	26.2		NP	0	88	12	
4100 -	125		17	24,30,33,(38)	SM	gray, wet, very dense	SILTY SAND									
4095 -	130		19	7,11,15,(15) 1.42	CL	gray, moist, very stiff	LEAN CLAY									
4090 -	135	Ĩ	18	Pushed 1.12	ML (A-4(6))	brown, very moist, very stiff	SILT		103.2	22.7	31	6	5	3	92	CO
4085 -	140		18	8,14,21,(20) 0.75 0.85	CL	gray-brown, very moist, stiff	LEAN CLAY									
4080 -	145		16	15,21,23,(25)	ML (A-4(0))	brown, very moist, stiff	SANDY SILT			24.7		NP	0	48	52	
4075 -			15	26,26,19,(25) 0.90) SM	brown, wel very dense	SILTY SAND FAT CLAY					OTH	ERTE	CTS		
	*	E		RB&G INEER INC.	ING	DISTURBED	0 SAMPLE 2,3,2,(6) - Blo 0.45 - Tor	w Count per) ₆₀ Value vane (tsf)	6"			UC = CT = DS = TS =	Unco Consi Direct Triaxi = Cali	olidation t Sheat al Sheat ifornia	ar	ng F

1.1.1.1.1.1							URE F-718 (500 S. OVER LEGACY PARKWAY) SPORTATION	PROJEC	TNU	MBE	R: 2		SHE 01.1			Í
					, E 52,90			DATE ST				2/28/				
DRIL	LING	NETH		: _	ME-55 N	0.2 TO 7	2' THEN CME-55 NO. 1 / N.W. CASING	DATE CO	MPI	ETE						
					DN, T. KEI			GROUND	EL	EVAT	ION	: 42	23.4	4'	_	
DEPT	НТО	WAT	ER	- IN	ITIAL: ¥		AFTER 24 HOURS: ¥ 6.7'	LOGGED	BY:	<u>C.S</u>	., G	.P.,	J.B.			
	1	>	-		Sample				ity	(%)	At	_	Gra	adati	_	ſ
Elev. (ft)	Depth (ft)	Lithology	Type	Rec. (in)	See Legend	USCS (AASHTO)	Material Description		Dry Density (pcf)	Moisture Content (%)	Liquid Limit	Plast, Index	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Silt/Clay (%)	
4070 -				16	9,16,22,(21)	сн	gray, moist, sull FAT CLAY									
	155-				0.92	(A-7-6(34))	It. gray, moist, stiff			23.3	52	34	0	6	94	
4065 -	160 -															
4060 -																
	165															
4055 -																
	170 -															
4050 -																
	175 -															
4045 -																
	180 -															
4040 -																
	185 -															
4035 -		-														
	190 -															
4030 -	195 -															
	-															
4025 -									_							
	Ba	•	EN		RB&G INEER INC.	ING	DISTURBED SAMPLE 2,3,2,(6) (N1)	v Count per 6 ₆₀ Value vane (tsf)				UC = CT = DS = TS =	ER TE Unco Conse Direct Triaxi	olidati t Shea al Shea	on	

D-843

500 South over Multi-Use Trail

		-	-		OG	- D-843 (5	00 SOUTH OVER N	IULTI-USE TRAIL)	BOI	RIN	GN	0,				1 0	
							SPORTATION		PROJE	CT NU	JMBE	R: 2	2006	01.1	146		_
					94. E ~53.2		200000		DATE S	TART	ED:	3	8/8/0	6	_	_	_
				1.5		D. 2 / N.W	I. CASING		DATE C								
DRIL									GROUN				-				
DEPT	НТО	WAT	ER	- 11			AFTER 24 HC	DURS: ¥ <u>N.M.</u>	LOGGE	DBY	<u>M.</u>	1	_				_
		76	H		Sample					sity	(%)	-	er.	-	adat	-	sta
Elev. (ft)	Depti (ft)	Lithology	Type	Rec. (in)	See Legend	USCS (AASHTO)		aterial Description		Dry Density (pcf)	Moisture Content (%)	Liquid Limit	Plast. Index	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Silt/Clay (%)	Other Tests
1		- A		16	14,19,15,(53)	GC	IL brown, dry, med. dense	CLAYEY GRAVEL W/SAND									
4220 -	5-			9	6,12,11,(36) 0.75	CL	It. gray-brown, dry to slightly moist, very stiff										
5	Z	V	X	12	Pushed 0.36	CL (A-6(13))	lt. gray & rusty-brown, slightly moist, firm	LEAN CLAY		102.1	23.4	32	13	0	ŧ	99	U
4215 -	10-			16	2,1,2,(4) 0.21	CL	brown to It. green, moist, soft										
			X	18	Pushed	CL SM	brown, wet, med. dense	SILTY SAND									
4210 -	15-		X	14 18	2,4,7,(13) Pushed	CL (<i>A-4(3)</i>) CL	brown, wet, stiff	LEAN CLAY			26.6	27	7	0	33	67	
4205 -			X	18 10	2,2,2,(5) 0.20 Pushed 0.65	CH CH (A-7-6(30))	gray, moist, soft stiff	FAT CLAY		86.1	30.8	51	28	0	4	96	C
	20-			18	2,3,3,(6) 0.63	СН	firm to stiff	FATCLAY									
4200 -	25-			17	Pushed	CL	gray, moist, stiff	LEAN CLAY									
4105				12	0.64	SM	gray, wet, dense	SILTY SAND									
4195 -	30-			8	5,5,5,(9)	CL	It. blue-green to it.										
4190 -					0.70		brown, moist, stiff										
	35-		X	9	Pushed 0.76	CL (A-6(16))	stiff			101.6	20.3	34	18	0	8	92	C
4185 -	40-			18	3,4,4,(6) 0.54	CL	firm to stiff, w/silt lenses	LEAN CLAY									
4180 -	45-			40	Pushed												
2		1/1	X	16	0.20	CL CL	soft										
-		VI		16	2,4,10,(11)	(A-4(5))	stiff				26.1	28	7	0	12	88	
4175 -		1/		18	5,12,15,(20)	CL	very stiff										
	200	1	EN		RB&G INEER INC.	ING	LEGEND: DISTURBED	SAMPLE 2,3,2,(6) (N1)	v Count per 60 Value vane (tsf)	6"			UC = CT = DS = TS =	Cons Direc Triaxi = Cali	olidati t She al She ifornia	ar	ng R



PREVIOUS TEST HOLES

(by others)

	Boring: SB-12-263										-					Te	st R	esult	5.*		Legacy Parkway - Preferred Alternative
5	Sheet 1 of 4 SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	De	nth	۲ د د					.			• SPT (N.).		S ₍₎ kPa orvane in Kalica	'n.	e'	Imit	<u></u>	% Passing No. 200	Other Testa	I-215 to I-15/US 89 Interchange
Elevation (m)	(ASTM D 2484/D 2487)			Graphic		È:	Class	Soli ification		owa per 0.		O SPT (N ₄) _{ee} (Greater ti	an 50 Blows)	불물	Dent	stu %	Liquid Limit	Plasticity Index	² ase	L L	KLEINFELDER
Ξ.		n	m	ð	Type	Recovery (mm)	USCS	AASHTO	or In	iterval sho	wwn)		s 5	8 10	È	ž	Llq1	ã	ž	oth	Project No. 35-8163-05
┣┤	Lean CLAY - stiff, moist, light gray, with light brown mottling, trace silt					254	CL	A-6	1	3 4	7	i ● ₁ i, i									
								{	1			┟╷╷╷	<u> </u>	[[FIELD TEST BORING LOG
- 1285		5-												1						рH	Boring: SB-12-263
- 1265	- wet		2	目	SH	610							╏╸╵╴╵╸╹╴╴	62						wss	Sheet 1 of 4
- 1								l												ĸ	Logged by: S. Lewis
	- very soft, wet, light gravish-brown, with 6 mm seams of olive-gray silly	10	3		SPT	810			1	1 1	1	• <u>+</u>									Date Start: 1/31/00
	sand		4]			i						ļ				Date Finish: 2/4/00 Station: 70+189.825 4.65 LT
		15		허	SPT	508	ML	- A-4		52	2						i				Line: 500 SOUTH
	SILT - medium stiff, wet, dark olive-gray, with fine-grained sand, trace clay Lean CLAY - medium stiff, wet, dark gray, with trace of organics		5 —	ĸ		610	CL	A-6	1	J 2	1	┟┨╍┠┤╍┝	┨╌┠╢╌┠╢╸	37	13.1	38	41	22		с	Coordinates (m): N 112,713.423 E 16,081.296 Elevation (m): 1286.517
\mathbf{F}	Lean CLAY - medium stiff, wet, dark gray, with trace of organics			ЩЦ	an									48						SG	Total Depth Drilled (m): 61.6 Drill Contractor: Layne Christensen
1280		20-	0	E	SPT	457	l		1	12	3	•3					ļ				Driller: C. Davis
1280			7	╞═┨									<u> [] [] </u>								Rig Type: Mobile B-59 Drilling Method: Mud Rotary
╞	SILT - soft, wet, dark olive-gray, with mice flakes, trace clay	25 -		员	SH	610	ML	A4	-				1111				i				Hammer Type: Rope and Cat Head Rod Type: AW
1	SILI - SOTT, WET, GEIR ONVE-GLAY, WILL INC. BACES, DAGE CARY		8											48							Boring Diameter: 133 mm
			9																		LEGEND/NOTES
	- stiff	30	-	1/2	SPT	559			2	67	7	1 -[•] ₃ [Elevations based upon North American Vertical Datum of
			10—	K								┟┥╍┠┥╍┟╵	<u>-</u>								1988 (NAVD '88)
F	Silty SAND - medium dense, wet, olive-gray, fine-grained	35 -		12h	ы	610	SM	A-2-4					<u> </u>								Coordinates are NAD '83 Coordinates are NAD '83 Coordinates are NAD '83 Coordinates are NAD '83
1275		3	11	VA'									11111								Blows = Number of blows required to drive split spoon
[^{12/3}]		40	12 -	KA_							-		┨╍╏╸┇╺╏╴┇	1							sampler 150 mm or interval shown
-	Sandy SiLT - soft, wet, ofive-gray, fine-grained sand	Ξ		24	SPT	584	ML	A -4	3	22	3	1 4									USCS = Unified Soil Classification System AASHTO = American Association of State Highway and
			13					ł													Transportation Officials
		45 -	14	紛	SH	610													l		 See Key to Soil Logs for list of abbreviations and descriptions of tests
L I	Sitty SAND - medium dense, wet, light brownish-gray, fine-grained sand			10th			SM	A-2-4]					{ {							SAMPLE TYPE
		50-	15 —	KJ-		610	CL	A-6	↓,	34	6	┝┨╍┝┨╍┝╵ ┛┛	<u> -} </u>								SAWIFLE ITFE
F	Lean CLAY - medium stiff, wet, dark gray, with fine-grained sand, with mica flakes		16	F	571	010		~~°	1	5 4			┨╻┝╎╻┝╢╻								50.8mm OD split spoon sampler
1270			10	\square																	MC = Modified California Sampler, 50.8mm ID and
		55	17 -	\exists									┫╍╏┙╍╹┙								63.5mm OD split spoon sampler P = Piston Sampler, 76.2 mm OD
┝				\square					1												/ - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ľ		60-	18	╞╡	SH	381		ļ	1		l			52	16,5	22	42	23	94		SH = Shelby Tube, 76.2mm OD, pushed
i F			19	티		~ '								57							B BAG = Bulk Sample
Ľ		1		日																	<u> </u>
L		65		J	L	1	i	1	1			1111		·			_	لىسىا			PLATE B-108

	Boring; SB-12-283	[T		lesui	ts *		Legacy Parkway - Preferred Alternative
5	Sheet 2 of 4 SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	De	pth	Graphic Log				SAMPLE			● SPT (NL)	k P.a In Helfee)	Į,	ģ	Liquid Limit	Ę,	la d	Other Tests	I-215 to I-15/US 89 Interchange
Elevation (m)	(ASTM D 2488/D 2487)				2	5.	Class	Soil illeation	N, Bla	ws per 0.15	O SPT (N.) (Greater than 50 Blows)	Su kF	KN W	olatu %	E F	astic	% Passing No 200	er T	KLEINFELDER
ă –		n	т	ð	ų	Recovery (mm)	USCS	AASHTO) 	terval shown		5 av	5	Moleture, %	5	đ -	- Z	ę	Project No. 35-8163-05
-	Lean CLAY - medium stiff, wet, dark gray, with fine-grained sand, with mica fiakes (continued)		21								┾ ╷╷┑╷╷╷╷ ╷╷╷┥╷								FIELD TEST BORING LOG
- 1265	- olive-gray to light brown, mottled, 25 mm seam of light brown lean clay	70-	22 -		SPT	483			3	568									Boring: SB-12-263 Sheet 2 of 4
-		75 -	23 -																Logged by: S. Lewia Date Start: 1/31/00
-	- light gray	80	24 —		SH	610					+	38	:						Date Finish: 2/4/00 Station: 70+189.825 4.65 LT Line: 500 SOUTH 500 SOUTH Coordinates (m): N 112,713.423 E 16,081.295
-		85	25 — 26 —								┝┥╌╞┥╌╞┥╼╞┥╴ ┝┨╌┝┥╌┝┥╌┝┥╼┝┽╴	0							Elevation (m): 1288.517 Total Depth Drilled (m): 61.6 Drill Contractor: Layne Christensen
1260		8	27 —																Dritier: C, Davis Rig Type: Mobile 8-59 Drilling Method: Mud Rotary Hammer Type: Rope and Cat Head
-	- light olive-gray		28 —		SPT	508			4	4 8 1) • ₃]			Rod Type: AW Boring Diameter: 133 mm
-		95	29 30								┝ _┨ - _┝ _┨ -								LEGEND/NOTES Elevations based upon North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD '88)
-	Poorty Graded SAND with sit - medium dense, wet, gray, fine to coarse-grained, with layers of fine to medium-grained, with mica flakes, shell fragments	100	31 -		SPT	559	SP-SM	A-3]•	14 24 2	⁵ - - + - - + + +								Coordinates are NAD '83 Image: state with the state of the
1255	SILT - medium stiff, wet, gray, with light brown mottling, with trace organics	105 -	32 -		SPT	610	ML	A-4	2	571)				ļ	}			Blows = Number of blows required to drive split spoon sampler 150 mm or interval shown USCS = Unified Soil Classification System
-		110	33 - 34 -													ļ			AASHTO = American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials = See Key to Soil Logs for list of abbreviations and descriptions of tests
-	Sandy SILT - wet, gray, fine-grained sand	115	35 ~	A	SH	330	ML	A-4			╷╷╷╷╷╷╷╷╷╷╷╷ ┝┨╌┝╡╌┾┨╾┣┫╼┣┽╸								SAMPLE TYPE
-	Sainy Sili - Wel yidy, iling yiangu sain		36 -								┝┥╌┟┥╌┝┥╌┝┥╴	57							SPT = Standard Penetration Test, 34.9mm ID and 50.8mm OD split spoon sampler MC = Modified California Sampler, 50.8mm iD and
1250		120	37 —													}			63.5mm OD split spoon sampler P P = Piston Sampler, 76.2 mm OD
-	SILT - medium stiff, wet, dark gray, with mica flakes, fine-grained	125 -	38 —		SPT	610	ML	A-4	3	676					1				SH = Shelby Tube, 76.2mm OD, pushed
-		130-	39 —										_						BAG = Bulk Sample

	Boring: SB-12-263								:		1			Tes	st Re	suits	•		Legacy Parkway - Preferred Alternative
5 S	Sheet 3 of 4 SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	De	pth	le Log							● SPT (N ₁) _m O SPT (N ₁) _m	Pa Itelica		é	Liquid Limit Plasticity Index % Passing No. 200		ests	I-215 to I-15/US 89 Interchange	
Elevation (m)	(ASTM D 2488/D 2487)		<u> </u>	Graphic	Type	(um)	Cias	Soli sification	N, Blows	per 0.15 m al shown)	(Greater than 50 Blows)	Sur kPa Iorrane la Italic Dry Density	튌		Ē		6.2	Other Tests	KLEINFELDER
ш		n	m	0	5	Э.С. С	USCS	AASHTO			1 <u>5</u> 0			2	1	• *	· -	<u></u>	Project No 35-8163-05
	SILT - medium stiff, wet, dark gray, with mica flakes, fine-grained (continued)	1			Γ				}		: : : : : · : · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ł		ł				FIELD TEST BORING LOG
	- stiff, wet, dark offve-gray	135 -	41 -	M	SH	610					┟╣╍┟╣╍┟┥╍┟┥╍└┥		5.1	28			99	с	Boring: SB-12-263
- 1245	- Alli, WEL, USIN COTO-SIEY	=	42 -	M								57			İ			SG	Sheet 3 of 4
-		140	1	M	1			1							Ì				·
		=	43 -	Ø										ļ					Logged by: S. Lewis Date Start: 1/31/00
		145	44 -	KA		.			ļ										Date Finish: 2/4/00 Station: 70+189.825 4,65 LT
- Î	Poorly Graded SAND with sit - medium dense, wet, light gray, fine to medium-grained sand, with gray sit at top	1		[``]	SPT	610	SP-SŅ	A-3	13 23	33 35			1						Line: 500 SOUTH Coordinates (m): N 112,713.423 E 16,081.296
	Lean CLAY - very stiff, wet, light ofive-gray, with white motting	=	45 —	M			CL	A-6	ł										Elevation (m): 1286.517 Total Depth Drilled (m): 61.6
	LESIT CLAT - very son, wor, syn cave gray, with white mounty	150	46 -	日	SPT	610			58	13 17	┟╶┤╌╇╍┝╴┥╌┝╴┥╴								Drill Contractor: Layne Christensen Driller: C. Davis
- 1240			47 -	目															Rig Type: Mobile B-59
-		155	1.	曰		[:		1]			11		ļ					Drilling Method: Mud Rotary Hammer Type: Rope and Cat Head
		=	48 -	曰				1			<u> </u>								Rod Type: AW Boring Diameter: 133 mm
	- chalky light gray	160	49 -	E	зн	457		1	}			.							LEGEND/NOTES
-		=		E															Elevations based upon North American Vertical Datum of
		165 -	50	E							F1-F1-F1-F1-F1		ļ						1988 (NAVD '88) Coordinates are NAD '83
] 51 -	日	}	} .		ł	{		┟┧╌┞┥╌┡┥╌┡┥╴┡┥			- {		ł	1		Second
- 1235		170-	52	日	SPT		SM	A-2-4	4 5	7 10			ĺ						Blows The Number of blows required to drive split spoon sampler 150 mm or interval shown
	Sity SAND - loose, wet, olive-gray, fine to medium-grained sand, with mice flakes, with sit layers	=	1	$\langle \rangle$	3 -1											ł			USCS = Unified Soil Classification System
	SILT - very still, wet, office-gray, with fine-grained sand, mica flakes,	175	53 -	Ø			ML.	A-4	1	23 35			Ì						AASHTO = American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
-	shell fragments	=	54 -		SPT	610			8 18	<u>x</u> 3 33			- {						 See Key to Soil Logs for list of abbreviations and descriptions of tests
-		=	1	Ø										1					SAMPLE TYPE
		180	55 —	Ø					1		┢┤╌┝┤╌┝┨╌┝╡╴┝┤								SAIVIPLE ITPE
		=	56 -	Ø				Ì			┝ _{┪╍} ╞┨╍╞┥╍╞╡		[50.8mm OD split spoon sampler
- 1230		185	1_	K	вн	610		<u> </u>	ļ				ļ						MC = Modified California Sampler, 50.8mm ID and 63.5mm OD split spoon sampler
L (Sity SAND - wet, dark gray, fine-grained, with mica flakes		57	Ø]		SM	A-2-4]				1						P = Piston Sampler, 76.2 mm OD
-	Poorly Graded SAND with sit - medium dense, wet, brown-gray, fine to medium-grained	190	58 -		SPT	457	SP-SN	A-3	16 35	50	•3z								SH ≄ Shelby Tube, 76.2mm OD, pushed
		=	59	\mathbf{k}					4		┝┑╍┎┓╍┎┫╍┍╗╍┍┑								BAG ≂ Bulk Sample
-	SILT - very stiff, wet, mottled grayish-brown and light olive-gray, with mica flakes	195	1	12	SPT	610	ML	A-4	10 18	23 26	•15								

	Boring: SB-12-263	1			SAMPLE						<u></u>					suits '			Legacy Parkway - Preferred Alternative	
5	Sheet 4 of 4 SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	Depth		807 9	SAMPLE						● SPT (N_), O SPT (N_),	S ₁₀ kPa (corrans in fakes) Dry Density, kN/m ³ Molsture, %			Ēļ	h Passing	8	Tests	I-215 to I-15/US 89 Interchange	
Elevation (m)	(ASTM D 2488/D 2487)			Graphic	2	N N	Cles	Soil Sification	N, Blow	vs per 0.15 m	(Greater	than 50 Blows)	380	돌릉	*		Pas	-0-	ler T	KLEINFELDER
ш́		n	m	ð	Type	Recovery (mm)	USCS	AASHTO	기 (or inti 이	rvai shown)	0	22	٥ <u>ق</u>	12		5 ē			Other	Project No. 35-8163-05
	SILT - very stiff, wet, mottled gravish-brown and light olive-gray, with					1		[1		1111									
	mica flakas (continued)	200~	61 -	V/A	SPT	610	}	}	5 1	6 15 18	┝┤┋┤╴┤	╷┧╌┟┧╴			1	ł				FIELD TEST BORING LOG
- 1225	- medium stiff, gray, with fine-grained sand			14			— —		-1		111									Boring: SB-12-263
[]			62 ~			1			}		11111	1 1 1 1 1								Sheet 4 of 4
Γ (205 -	63																	Logged by: S. Lewis
		7	-																	Date Start; 1/31/00 Date Finish; 2/4/00
		210-	64 —		ł		1	1			11111	· {-r 1-r 1	1 1			1		1		Station: 70+189.825 4.65 LT
$F \mid$			65 —								 	╶┥╌┝┥╌┝┥								Line: 500 SOUTH Coordinates (m): N 112,713.423 E 16,081.296
		215					1]				ł					Elevation (m): 1286.517 Total Depth Drilled (m): 61.6
1			66					ł	1		┟┥╌┟┥-╽	·┥╍┝┥-┝┥-								Drill Contractor: Layne Christensen Driller: C. Davis
- 1220																				Rig Type: Mobile B-59
		220	67 —		ļ				ļ			1111			ł					Drilling Method: Mud Rotary Hammer Type: Rope and Cat Head
		=	68 —																	Rod Type: AW Boring Diameter: 133 mm
-		225			(1	1	1						-	{	{	{		
		=	69 —						İ		[1-[1-]									LEGEND/NOTES
		230	70		ł		1					· {-⊦ {-⊦ {-							l	Elevations based upon North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD '88)
- 1																				Coordinates are NAD '83
			71				Į		Į		► ► - I - I			ļ						
- 1215		235 -	72 -									. . ! . ! . ! . !								Blows Rumber of blows required to drive split spoon sampler 150 mm or interval shown
L																		ļ		USCS = Unified Soil Classification System AASHTO * American Association of State Highway and
		240	73 -		Ì		1		1		<u>† </u>	1	1					1		Transportation Officials
			74 -								 									 See Key to Soil Logs for list of abbreviations and descriptions of tests
		245			}				1		[]]]]									
		1	75								┟┤╍┠┨╍┠	· - - - - - - - - -				1				
F		3	75)	1			1		11-11-1									SPT ≈ Standard Penetration Test, 34.9mm ID and 50.8mm OD split spoon sampler
- 1210		250	76												ļ					MC Modified California Sampler, 50.8mm ID and
			77 -		1			1	1			┫╬╏╏]						63.5mm OD split spoon sampler P ≈ Piston Sampler, 76.2 mm OD
F		255 -				[[[
-			78 —					1	1										:	SH ⇒ Shelby Tube, 76.2mm OD, pushed
Γ			79					1			11111	· {-r 1-r 1-								B BAG = Bulk Sample
		260			1						, , , , , , , , , , , ,									<u> </u>




PLATE B-113



<u> </u>	Boring: SB-12-265						-		-							Te	est R	esult	s *		Legacy Parkway - Preferred Alternative
ā	Sheet 1 of 4 SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	De	oth	5								SPT (NJ)		2a (tables)	ι. Υ	e l	Ĩ	<u>₹</u>	gri o	Tests	I-215 to I-15/US 89 Interchange
Elevetion (m)	(ASTM D 2488/D 2487)	<u> </u>		Graphio	2	verv n	Ciasas	Soil Ification		ws per 0.15	5 m (SPT (N _{u)ee} (Greater tha	n 50 Blows)	Su KPa Naneh ka	Deni KN/m	sistu %	Llquid Limit	Plasticity index	% Passing No. 200	er Ti	KLEINFELDER
ă		n	m	5	Type	Recovery (mm)	USCS	AASHTO		erval show		2		0 2	È	Moisture, %	Llqu	i –	ž	Other .	Project No. 35-8163-05
⊢	SILT - stiff, moist, dark brown, with organics			1	SPT		ML	A-4	2	3 3	5 1	•10	1111			[
┝				VA.	1						[]	_[]]]		(([[(FIELD TEST BORING LOG
	Lean CLAY - stiff, wet, light gray to light brown, mottled, occasional	5-		¥	l		CL,	A-6	1											pН	Boring: SB-12-265
- 1285	fine-grained sand		2 -	1月	SH	610								67						WSS	Sheet 1 of 4
Ļ																				Ŕ	
1	- soft, with trace organics	10	3 -	Ħ	SPT	584			1	2 2	2	4111						1			Logged by: W. Lewis Date Start: 1/22/00
F					Ĭ							- F 4 - F 4									Date Finish: 1/26/00 Station: 70+260.053 3.45 LT
{		15		E								111	1111		157					•	Line: 500 SOUTH
Γ	- sthT		5 —	비	SH	610					-+	╺┾┤-┝┥	-┝┥-┝┥ -	83 4	15 /	27	39	17	97	C SG	Coordinates (m): N 112,713.116 E 16,151.533 Elevation (m): 1286.640
L		E			{				ļ			111	1111					ļ			Total Depth Drilled (m): 77.1
]	SiLT - medium stiff, wet, olive-gray	20	6 -	12	SPT	559	ML	A-4	1	3 3	4	•8									Drill Contractor: Layne Christensen Driller: C. Davis
- 1280			7 -	\mathcal{D}	1						Ľ			-							Rig Type: Mobile B-59 Drilling Method: Mud Rotary
		25 -		VA.							-11					{					Hammer Type: Rope and Cathead
Γ	- with sand		8 -		SH	610					-	-7171	1111			1					Rod Type: AW Boring Diameter: 133 mm
-				\mathcal{O}									1 1 1 1								
	- light brown to olive-gray	30-	9	1/2	SPT	584			2	3 3	6		-F1-F1-					ł			LEGEND/NOTES
F			10—	\square	1							╺┾┥╌┾┥	·├┥-┝┥-								Elevations based upon North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD '88)
L		35		VA.																	Coordinates are NAD '83
Γ		1 ~ I	11 -	\mathcal{V}	SH	483			{				-┝┥-┝┥-					ł			- = Observed Groundwater depth at time of drilling
- 1275				Ø																	Blows Number of blows required to drive split spoon sampler 150 mm or interval shown
		40-	12 —	1/2	SPT	610			2	32	4 9	⁵	111					ļ			USCS = Unified Soil Classification System
F]]	13 —	Ø							+-										AASHTO = American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
L		45		VA.																	 See Key to Soil Logs for list of abbreviations
	- grayish-brown	~1	14 —	¥/A	SH	610			1		- 11	-11-14	-r1-r1-	62							and descriptions of tests
-]	4.5	Ŵ									-┝-┨-┝								SAMPLE TYPE
	- soft, 10 mm seam of fine send	50-	15 —	VA	SPT	610			2	2 3	4	• • • • • •	-11-11-								SPT = Standard Penetration Test, 34.9mm ID and
5]]	16	VA	1				1		┢┥	-└┥-┝┥	╺┝╶┤╌┝╶┥╴								50.8mm OD split spoon sampler
- 1270		55		M	ļ						1	111	нні								MC MC Modified California Sampler, 50.8mm ID and 63.5mm OD split spoon sampler
		³⁰ -	17 —						{		1										P P = Piston Sampler, 76.2 mm OD
F	Lean CLAY - wet, light gravish-brown	1 3	18 —	Ы			CL	A-6	1												~
		60-	10 -	凷	зн	508			{												SH = Sheiby Tube, 76.2mm OD, pushed
Γ	SILT - wet, olive-gray, with occasional fine-grained sand and trace of	1 7	19 —	W	{		ML	A-4	1		+1	-11-14	-11-11-								B BAG = Bulk Sample
L	clay			Ŵ									1111								-
	L	65		1/1	L			<u> </u>	L		1.1			. 1		·			·		DIATE P 115

	Boring: SB-12-265			Log			 C	AMPLE	 -		Τ-			T	_	1	Test I	Resu	its *		Legacy Parkway - Preferred Alternative
tion (Sheet 2 of 4 SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	De	Depth								-	т (N ₄) т (N ₄)		Pa	, tiler	eru,	Ltmlt	cłty	sing	other Tests	I-215 to I-15/US 89 Interchange
Elevation (m)	(ASTM D 2488/D 2487)	n		Graphic	ed (j	Recovery (mm)		Soli illcation	(or int	ows per 0.15 iterval show		reater th	an 50 Blows)	SU KPa Su kPa Itorrae la Aulter	Der	Noist N	riquid Limit	plast	% Passing	her 1	KLEINFELDER
		<u> </u>		Ŭ		8 8 9 9 5	USCS	AASHTO	×			<u></u>			E	F.	1	<u> </u>	1	ō	Project No. 35-8163-05
	Lean CLAY - soft, wet, light brown with trace organics and light gray	=		¥			a	A-6	1						[FIELD TEST BORING LOG
	motting	70	21 -		SPT	610			4	3 3 3	3 . 4	 			Ì						Boring: SB-12-265
- 1265			22 -	\Box	Ŋ			Į	ļ		<u> </u>			-	ļ		{		ł		Sheet 2 of 4
-		75	23 -	E					ľ					_							Logged by: W. Lawis
		=							ľ						ļ	ľ					Date Start: 1/22/00 Date Finish: 1/26/00
		80-	24 -	日	Пын	533		l			[†1]	1-1-	[-[1][1]		1						Station: 70+260.053 3.46 LT Line: 500 SOUTH
F		=	25 —		Ц °П	333						┝┥╌┝╵	┥╍┝┥╍┝┥	57							Coordinates (m): N 112,713.116 E 16,151.533 Elevation (m): 1286.640
-		85 -	26 -	目								LJ_L.	╽ _{╍┢┥╍┝┥}	_	[ſ	1		Total Depth Drilled (m): 77.1 Drill Contractor: Layne Christensen
- 1260		=	20 -	日					ļ		-li							1			Driller: C. Davis Rig Type: Mobile B-59
		90	27 -	日							<u> </u>	- <u></u> -		-	Ì						Drilling Method: Mud Rotary Hammer Type: Rope and Cathead
	- stiff	=	28 -	P	SPT	610			4	691	יייי	•10 		-							Rod Type: AW Boring Diameter: 133 mm
-		95 -	-	E								 	 		ļ		1	ł			
			29 -	目																	LEGEND/NOTES Elevations based upon North American Vertical Datum of
		=	30—	Ħ							- -	┝┫╌┝╺	<u></u> ╡╾┝╴┥╌┝╴┥╴	-						_	1988 (NAVD '88) Coordinates are NAD '83
	Silty CLAY - stiff, wet, gray, trace of organics	100	31 -	Ħ	вн	6 10	CL-ML	A-7-6]		- [-]-	- 1-1-	╽╍┟┥╍┟┥	- 136 - 43	16 1	26	43	17	99	C SG	
- 1255		=		\square																	Blows = Number of blows required to drive split spoon sampler 150 mm or interval shown
		105	32 -	日																	USCS = Unified Soil Classification System
			33 -	日					ļ					-					1		AASHTO = American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
-	SILT - medium stiff, wet, light olive-gray with white chalky motifing	110	34 -	\square	SPT	610	ML	A-4	3	4 5 7	7 •5	- 1	-11-11	-							 see Key to Soil Logs for list of abbreviations and descriptions of tests
				Ø												1				ĺ	SAMPLE TYPE
		115	35 —	Ø							[1]	┝┫╍┝╶	┥╾┾╶┥╌┝╶┥╵ ┙	1		{				{	SPT = Standard Penetration Test, 34.9mm ID and
	Poorly Graded SAND - medium dense, wet, gray	1 3	36 -	M			SP	A-3	1		+- -	└╶┨╌┠╶	╎╌┟┥╌┝┥	-							50.8mm OD split spoon sampler MC = Modified California Sampler, 50.8mm ID and
- 1250		120	37 -		SH	508								-							63.5mm OD split spoon sampler
				Ľľ	1				1							ł					P = Piston Sampler, 75.2 mm OD
2002	Lean CLAY - stiff, wet, mottled light brown to light gray	125 -	38	Ħ	SPT	610	SP	A-6 A-3	3	4 16 1	6	10		-		1	1				III SH ≍ Shelby Tube, 76.2mm OD, pushed
	Poorty Graded SAND - madium dense, wet, dark gray		39 -				37	~ 3			1-1-1	- 1-1-	-11-11	1-							BAG = Bulk Sample
\mathbf{F}		130		b.	SPT				-			• 15			ļ					<u> </u>	

	Boring: \$8-12-285 Sheet 3 of 4															T	fest F	lesul	ts *		Legacy Parkway - Preferred Alternative
Elevation (m)	Sheet 3 of 4 SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	De	pth	Graphic Log					<u>-</u> 			SPT (N1)00 SPT (N1)00		Pa	۲. ۲.	er,	Limit	<u>}</u> ,	sing 00	Other Tests	I-215 to I-15/US 89 Interchange
a E	(ASTN D 2488/D 2487)			Har	ed Vr	SE SE	Class	Soil ification	N, Bk	ows per 0.1 Iterval show	5 m	(Greater th	an 50 Blows)	S _U s KPa orvane in Itelics	Ped 1	Aolsti X	Llquid Llmit	Plasticity Index	%, Passing No. 200	her T	KLEINFELDER
_		ñ	m	0	ج	Recove (mm)	USCS	AASHTO	2		e			2 5	<u>à</u>	2	Ĕ		× _	ē	Project No. 35-8163-05
	SILT with sand - very stiff, wet, dark gray-brown	=		VA		610	ML	A-4	8	12 18	25	1111						1			FIELD TEST BORING LOG
۲ '		135 —	41 -	M				1			- F	4-1-4-1-	┨╌┝╶╣╌┣╴┥╸	-							Boring: SB-12-265
- 1245	Lean CLAY - wet, gray		42	K			CL	A-6	1			<u> </u>		-							Sheet 3 of 4
		140		日	ы	356					1					ĺ	}				Logged by: W. Lawis
	Sity SAND - medium dense, wet, light gray, occasional poorly graded sand layers	1 =	43	M			SM	A-2-4	1			1111									Date Start: 1/22/00
	Sand Aayers	145 -	44 -	A				ļ				1-1-1-1-	-11-11								Date Finish: 1/26/00 Station: 70+250.053 3.46 LT
-	- 25 mm day layer	ayer			SPT	533			11	34 25	26	1 1 1 1 1-E J-L-	•28' ' '				Ì	l			Line: 500 SOUTH Coordinates (m): N 112,713.116 E 16,151.533
	SILT - stiff, wet, oilve-gray, with minor chalky white mottling		45 —	Ø			M	A-7-6	4		Ţ	1-11-1-]-F 1-F 1					ļ			Elevation (m): 1286.640 Total Depth Drilled (m): 77.1
	SILI - SUIT, WEL ORVE-GIBY, WILL THINK CHANKY WILL HALLING	150	46 -		SPT	508			4	8 13	26		┥╍┝┥╍┞┥╸	•]						Drill Contractor: Layne Christensen Driller: C. Davis
1240			47 -	Ø				ľ													Rig Type: Mobile B-59 Drilling Method: Mud Rotary
		155 —		\mathcal{O}																	Hammer Type: Rope and Cathead
			48 —	Ø							F	1111				1					Rod Type: AW Boring Diameter: 133 mm
	- gray	160-	49 —		зн	381						1-1-1-1-		110 62	15.1	27	44	17	100	C SG	LEGEND/NOTES
\vdash			-										┥╍┝╶┥╍┝╴┤╍								Elevations based upon North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD '88)
		165 -	50	Ø								1-1-1-1			Į						Coordinates are NAD '83
			51 —	51							ł		┥╌┝╶┥╌┝╶┥╴	-				1			Sector Sector
- 1235	- medium stiff, with occasional sity sand layers	170	52 -	1/A	SPT	610			4	5 15	14					Ì					Blows = Number of blows required to drive split spoon sampler 150 mm or interval shown
L	- INSURATI SUIL, WILLI OCCESSIONALI SMY SAINU NYENS											111				}		}			USCS = Unified Soil Classification System AASHTO = American Association of State Highway and
		175 -	53 -								-	1111					Ì				Transportation Officials
\mathbf{F}		=	54	Ø				ł			-				ļ		-				 = See Key to Soil Logs for list of abbreviations and descriptions of tests
F		180-		Ø																	SAMPLE TYPE
			55 —		SH	508		1				1-11-1-	-r1-r1-								SPT = Standard Penetration Test, 34.9mm ID and
		=	56 -								+	╡╌┝╺┨╌┝╴	┨╌┠┥╌┠┥╴	·							50.8mm OD split spoon sampler MC = Modified California Sampler, 50.8mm ID and
1230		185	57 -	Ø								<u> </u>									63.5mm OD split spoon sampler
	Lean CLAY - stiff, wet, light brown, 0.3 m poorly graded sand layer 19	=	5/ -				l														P P = Piston Sampler, 76.2 mm OD
F		190	58	×	SPT	584	ᇿ	A-6	8	17 16	15	12									SH = Shelby Tube, 76.2mm OD, pushed
┝		=		E		ł	l	ļ			-	1-1-1-1-			{						B BAG = Bulk Sampte
L		195 -		日														1			

	Boring: \$8-12-265					-							Test	Res	uits *		Legacy Parkway - Preferred Alternative	
5	Sheet 4 of 4 SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	-		Log			S	AMPLE		● SPT (N ₁)4		a Ite		Liould Limit	2		sts	I-215 to I-15/US 89 Interchange
Elevation (m)	(ASTM D 2488/D 2487)		pth	Graphic	•	iery		Soil Mication	N, Blows per 0.15 m	O SPT (N ₁) _{ee} (Greater that	50 Blows)	4 2 4		2 N	stic	Index % Passing No. 200	Other Tests	KLEINFELDER
		ft	m	ð	Type	Recovery (mm)	USCS	AASHTO	(or interval shown)	5° 0	20	S _{Le} kPa (tervane in ita) Dru Dane i	Ĭ	Link	Ē		- Ť	Project No. 35-8163-05
	Lean CLAY - stiff, wet, light brown (continued)			曰							1							FIELD TEST BORING LOG
		200-	61 —		SH	457)	╞┤╌┝┤╌┝┤	• • • • • • • •							Boring: SB-12-265
1225																		Sheet 4 of 4
		205	62						ļ									
		=	63 -															Logged by: W. Lewis
	Silty SAND - dense, wet, light grayish-brown	1 =					SM	A-2-4										Date Start: 1/22/00 Date Finish: 1/26/00
	Lean CLAY - still, wet, dark gray, with fine-grained sand seams	210	64	$\langle \! \langle \! \rangle \! \rangle$	SPT	610	ĊL.	A-6	15 27 12 16		11-11-				Ì			Station: 70+260.053 3.46 LT Line: 500 SOUTH
	Lean CLAY - Sun, wer, can gray, with integration serve serve souths	=	65							┝┥╍┝┥╍┝┥	·┝┥╍┝┥-							Coordinates (m): N 112,713.116 E 16,151.533 Elevation (m): 1286.640
l		215 -						ļ	ļ								}	Total Depth Drilled (m): 77.1
			66							┝┥╾┝┥╾┣┥	· · · · · ·						1	Drill Contractor: Layne Christensen Driller: C. Davis
1220		220	67 -						1	<u> </u>								Rig Type: Mobile B-59 Drilling Method: Mud Rotary
		=			SH	356)									Hammer Type: Rope and Cathead
		=	68								1111							Rod Type: AW Boring Diameter: 133 mm
		225	69															LEGEND/NOTES
		=															1	Elevations based upon North American Vertical Datum of
	- medium stiff, light grayish-brown with occasional olive-gray coloring	230-	70—	=	SPT	610			6 10 14 20	┝╶┨╼┝╴┥╌┝╴┥╴	• † • † • † •							1988 (NAVD '88)
			71 -							╞┧╍┝┨╍┡┥			Ì			1]	Coordinates are NAD '83 Coordinates are NAD '83 Coordinates are NAD '83 Coordinates are NAD '83
- 1215		235															ļ	Blows = Number of blows required to drive split spoon
1210		=	72 -	=						┟╵╷╷╷╷	1111						}	sampler 150 mm or interval shown USCS = Unified Soli Classification System
		=	73 -														_	AASHTO = American Association of State Highway and
		240			SH	381						99 1 120	6.4 2	26 39	1	6 96	C SG	Transportation Officials See Key to Soil Logs for list of abbreviations
			74 —	Ц						111111	11717		Ì			1]	and descriptions of tests
		245	75							┝┥╍┝┥╌┝┥	.+							SAMPLE TYPE
		=									1111							SPT = Standard Penetration Test, 34.9mm ID and 50.8mm OD split spoon sampler
ĺ	- very still light offer mov	250-	76		SPT	432			11 22 50/	┝┥╾┝┥╌┝┥╴	·►┥-⊱┥~ , , , , , ,						Î	MC = Modified California Sampler, 50.8mm ID and
- 1210	- very stiff, light offve gray	=	π_						125mm	┟┚╻╽┛╻╽┥				ŀ				63.5mm OD split spoon sampler
																		P = Piston Sampler, 76.2 mm OD
			78 —														1	SH = Shelby Tube, 76.2mm OD, pushed
		=	79 -							' ' ' ' ' 								B BAG = Bulk Sample
		260							Į									

r	Boring: RB-371															Te	st R	esult	s *		Legacy Parkway - Preferred Alternative
5	Sheet 1 of 2 SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	De	oth			· - · · ·		•				● SPT (N_)		Pa	۔ بر ا	ġ I	Hmh	<u>}</u>	B u 8	ests	I-215 to I-15/US 89 Interchange
Elevation (m)	(ASTM D 2488/D 2487)			Graphic	2	SE .	5 Classi	ioli fication		ws per (han 50 Blows)	3	ry Density kN/m ³	诺 ×	Liquid Limit	Plasticity Index	Pass Io. 21	Other Tests	KLEINFELDER
ă		ft.	т	ō	lype	Recove (mm)	uscs	AASHTO	(or int	terval st	iowis)	•	8 9	C Iore	μŪ	ź	3		* 2	Ğ	Project No. 35-8163-05
┞──┤	Lean CLAY - still, wet, dark brown			Þ		610	CL	A-7-6	<u> </u>												FIELD TEST BORING LOG
-	- light reddish-brown		1		SPT	305			4	58	10	┟╷╷╢	┩╌┟┦╍┟┨╴	29					1		Boring: RB-371
	- Bitt Ioonal-Alexan	5			мс	508			1	22	2	• • []]								Sheet 1 of 2
- 1285			2-		SPT	610			1	23	3	111	1111								
F		10	3 -	⊨[Р	533						1	1-7-7-7-	22	14.3	34	42	22	97	с	Logged by: A. Waldman Date Start, 2/28/00
	SILT - medium stiff, gray			X	SPT	610	ML.	A4 A-2-4	0	26	5]	38					}	SG DS	Date Finish: 2/29/00
-	Silty SAND - dense, wet, gray, fine-grained	15	4 -	1A								[]_[]_[1-11-11-								Station: 70+338.171 0.29 RT Line: 500 South
-1			5	$\langle \rangle$		356				19 20 3 4		<u>├┤<u>-</u>├┤-├</u>	┥-┝┦╩┊┥-								Coordinates (m): N 112,710.357 E 16,229.692 Elevation (m): 1286.826
	Fat CLAY - medium stiff, wet, olive, frequent fine-grained sand and silt lenses			Ë	SPT	305	СН	A-7-6	1	3 4	3		<u> </u> _L]_L]_						1		Total Depth Drilled (m): 31.1 Drill Contractor: Layne Christensen
	кл 1969 -	20	8-	Ħ	P	610								62							Driller: C. Davis
- 1280			7 -	F	SPT	432			2	35	6	<u> </u>]• <u> </u>]	1								Driting Method: Mud Rotary
		25 -			мс	610			9	7 15	23		 4						l		Hammer Type: Safety Rod Type: AW
	- very stiff Silty SAND - medium dense, wet, gray, with trace gravel		- ° -	\overline{X}	SPT	305	SM	A-2-4	3	4 10	17	4.4									Boring Dlameter: 133 mm
-		30-	9 -	Ø	Р	810						+++++	1-1-1-1-								LEGEND/NOTES
	Lean CLAY - very stiff, wet, reddish-brown		10.	Ë	SPT	508	ထ	A-6	3	79	13		 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	86							Elevations based upon North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD '88)
		35 -		Ħ					1												Coordinates are NAD '83
+		[]]	11 -	E	MC	508		-	[6 11 8 9		- - - 2 -	┫╍┠┫╌┠┥╴								
- 1275	Sandy Lean CLAY - stiff, wet, reddish-brown		12 -		SPT	508	a	A-6	´	0 3			<u> </u>								Blows = Number of blows required to drive split spoon sampler 150 mm or interval shown
		40		B	P	610								38							USCS = Unified Soil Classification System AASHTO = American Association of State Highway and
			13 -	E	SPT	610			2	1 4	5	 	17777								Transportation Officials
	Silty SAND - dense, wet, gray	45	14 -	\overline{Z}	мс	406	SM	A-2-4	5	15 38	40		9 35								 = See Key to Soil Logs for list of abbreviations and descriptions of tests
	Lean CLAY - very stiff, wet, olive-gray			K	SPT	508	CL	A-6	5	7 10	14										SAMPLE TYPE
	LBAIL GET (- Very Sull, WG, UNTE YINY	50	15	F	P	610						<u> </u> +++++	1-1-1-1-								SPT = Standard Penetration Test, 34.9mm ID and
			16	曰	SPT	508			6	9 12	18	┝╺┤╌┝╴╋┑╏╕	<u> </u>	48							50.8mm OD split spoon sampler
		55		E								1111							ļ		MC MC Modified California Sampler, 50.8mm iD and 63.5mm OD split spoon sampler
- 1270			17 -	Ħ	P	102 610]			[111]		96							P P = Piston Sampler, 76.2 mm OD
- 1	Sandy Lean CLAY - stiff, wet, grayish-brown		18 -	F	1	610	ದ	A-6 A-6	4					96							SH = Shelby Tube, 76.2mm OD, pushed
	Lean CLAY - very stiff, olive-gray, caliche rich	60		E	SPT	457			4	8 10	15	6 34 	 			Í					B BAG = Bulk Sample
		1 3	19 -	E								1111	וזיון								B by - prik samble
		65 —		Ŀ	ł			L	L												

	Boring: RB-371										T					T	'est R	esult	s *		Legacy Parkway - Preferred Alternative
5	Sheet 2 of 2 SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	De	pth	2		<u> </u>			• •		e SPT			P.a.	Ň.	Ę	T III	<u></u>	B 4	Tests	I-215 to I-15/US 89 Interchange
Elevation (m)	(ASTM D 2488/D 2487)			Graphic	lype	ŞE.	Class	Soil dification	N, Blo	ws per 0.15 i		iter the	1 60 Blows)	28		nie %	Liquid Limit	Inde:	% Paseing No. 200	er T	KLEINFELDER
۵		ħ	E	ō	È	Recover) (mm)	USCS	AASHTO	(or in	terval shown	0	36	60	(terral)	Dry Denelty, kN/m ³	ž	I –				Project No. 35-8163-05
	Lesn CLAY - very stiff, olive-gray, caliche rich (continued)				P	330								32 85	18 5	17	29	13	86	C TR SG	FIELD TEST BORING LOG
	Sandy CLAY - medium stiff to stiff, wet, grayish-brown	70	21 -		SPT		CL	A-6		4 4 7		╡╼┣╸┫╴	- - - - - , , , , , ,	1							Boring: RB-371
- 1265			22 -		9-1	010			1	/	<u> ["</u>										Sheet 2 of 2
							ł								{						
		75	23 -	[=]∓	Р	610					1777	iit		1			1	1			Logged by: A. Waldman Date Start: 2/28/00
			24 -				l	l.			' '	, , , -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			{	ļ	ļ			Date Finish: 2/29/00 Station: 70+338,171 0.29 RT
	- ofive gray	80-	-		SPT	508	[7	9 12 20	, []]	• ₁₅				[ļļ		Line: 500 South
			25								┝┤╸┝╶	┥╌┟┥╴	- <u>}</u> - <u> </u>	·			1				Coordinates (m): N 112,710.357 E 16,229.692 Elevation (m): 1286,826
		85 -	26 -		Р										1						Total Depth Drilled (m): 31.1 Drill Contractor: Layne Christensen
			20	1	Р	406								86							Driller: C. Davis
1260			27 -						ł		}	<u>.</u>		·] '		}			1		Rig Type: Mobile 8-53 Drilling Method: Mud Rotary
L		90		E	SPT	610			3	5 8 10	⊳∣∣● <mark></mark> ₅		1111			1	Ì	1			Hammer Type: Safety Rod Type: AW
			28 -								TT										Boring Diameter: 133 mm
-		95 -	29 -		Р	483		{			+ 1- 1-	╍		-			ĺ				LEGEND/NOTES
L	Clayey SAND - medium dense, wet, olive, fine-grained	1 3					SC	A-2-6	1				1111			ł					Elevations based upon North American Vertical Datum of
Γ	Lean CLAY - still, wet, olive	100-	30				CL	A-6			-1	· · [1988 (NAVD '88) Coordinates are NAD '83
+			31 -		SPT	508		ļ	3	8 11 15	┇╎╷╴┡╴	2 .	.┟┤。┟┤				ļ				
1255		105 -	32								11	[[].									Blows = Number of blows required to drive split spoon sampler 150 mm or interval shown
		-							[USCS = Unified Soil Classification System
			33 -					1			117	;-; †	1111	·		ł					AASHTO * American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
-		110	34					1	1		1	, ,]				 See Key to Soil Logs for list of abbreviations
			•••										1								and descriptions of tests
		115 -	35								- + + +	┥╍┝╺┥╸	╌┠┥╌┢┥╸	·							
$\left - \right $			36								. .	┨╌┠╏╴]				{				SPT = Standard Penetration Test, 34.9mm ID and 50.8mm OD split spoon sampler
- 1250		120-																			MC = Modified California Sampler, 50.8mm ID and 63.5mm OD split spoon sampler
			37								11										P P ≈ Piston Sampler, 76.2 mm OD
F		125 -	38								111		1111	•{							SH = Shelby Tube, 76.2mm OD, pushed
\vdash			39								11-1-	1-1-1-	-[1-[1-	1							B BAG = Buik Sample
		130											1111				1	L			l

APPENDIX C Laboratory Testing

F-718

500 South over Legacy Parkway

Table 1

SUMMARY OF TEST DATA

PROJECT Legacy Parkway LOCATION Structure F-718

Structure F-718 (500 South over Legacy Parkway)

PROJECT NO. FEATURE 200601-112 Foundations

	DEPTH BELOW	STANDARD PENETRATION	IN-I	PLACE	UNCONFINED	AT	TERBERG LI	MITS	MECHA	NICAL AN	LYSIS	
HOLE NO.	GROUND SURFACE (ft)	BLOWS PER FOOT	DRY UNIT WEIGHT (pcf)	MOISTURE (%)	COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH (psf)	LIQUID LIMIT (%)	PLASTIC Limit (%)	PLASTICITY INDEX (%)	PERCENT GRAVEL	PERCENT SAND	PERCENT SILT & CLAY	CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM / (AASHTO Classification)
RSB-12-609	10-11.5	Shelby	93.3	27.0	1482	39	19	20	0	4	96	CL / A-6(20)
	20-21.5	Shelby	72.2	45.7		43	18	25	0	17	83	CL / A-7-6(21)
	31.5-33	17		25.2				NP	0	57	43	SM / A-4(0)
	40-41.5	7		27.1				NP	0	89	11	SP-SM / A-2-4(0)
	43.5-45	Shelby	99.1	25.7		37	19	18	0	1	99	CL / A-6(19)
	53.5-55	Sheiby	114.9	16.3	1859	24	18	6	0	47	53	CL-ML / A-4(1)
	63.5-64.5	Shelby	113.1	16.5		35	15	20	0	6	94	CL / A-6(18)
	73.5-75	Shelby	107.2	21.7		30	17	13	0	8	92	CL / A-6(11)
	93.5-95	Shelby	87.6	34.2		60	22	38	0	0	100	CH / A-7-6(43)
<u> </u>	103.5-104.3	Shelby		19.2				NP	0	96	4	SP / A-3
	104.3-105.0	Shelby	97.3	28.3	1695	50	24	26	0	5	95	CH / A-7-6(28)
	113.5-115	Shelby		22.1		35	19	16	2	21	77	CL / A-6(11)
	118.5-120	51		19.8				NP	0	87	13	SM / A-2-4(0)
	123.5-125	Shelby	102.6	23.9		29	22	7	0	6	94	CL / A-4(6)
	133.5-135	Shelby	92.0	27.2		32	20	12	0	5	95	CL / A-6(11)
	143.5-145	36		26.6				NP	1	19	80	ML / A-4(0)
RSB-12-610	5-6.5	Shelby	98.4	27.3	3169	41	19	22	0	2	98	CL / A-7-6(23)
	15-16.5	Shelby	101.1	28.6	720	36	19	17	0	3	97	CL / A-6(17)
	26-27.5	Shelby		22.1				NP	0	79	21	SM / A-2-4(0)
	32-33.5	12		36.5		42	31	12	0	14	86	ML / A-7-5(12)
	38-39.5	39		21.2				NP	0	89	11	SP-SM / A-2-4(0)
	45-46.5	Shelby	90.7	28.2	3475	29	18	11	0	2	98	CL / A-6(10)
	55-56.5	Shelby	104.8	18.2		29	16	13	0	42	58	CL / A-6(5)
	65-66.5	Shelby	107.7	21.9	2850	34	15	19	0	· 8	92	CL / A-6(17)
	74-75.5	Shelby	111.7	18.7	3798	33	15	18	0	15	85	CL / A-6(14)
	83.5-85	Shelby	105.2	22.0		36	17	19	0	7	93	CL / A-6(18)
	98.5-100	36		19.3				NP	0	86	14	SM / A-2-4(0)
	103.5-105	Shelby	89.2	30.1	4109	44	20	24	0	5	95	CL / A-7-6(24)
	108.5-110	36		22.8		25	21	4	0	6	94	ML / A-4(3)
	120-121.5	22		26.2				NP	0	88	12	SM / A-2-4(0)
	133.5-135	Shelby	103.2	22.7	2910	31	25	6	5	3	92	ML / A-4(6)
	143.5-145	44		24.7				NP	0	48	52	ML / a-4(0)
	153.5-155	38		23.3		52	18	34	0	6	94	CH / A-7-6(34)

NP=Nonplastic



TRIAXIAL SHEAR TEST

Project: Legacy Parkway - Structure F-718 (500 South Over Legacy Parkway) Davis County, Utah

RB&G

ENGINEERING

INC.

Provo, Utah

HOLE NO.: RSB-12-609 | Fig

DEPTH: 73.5'-75'

Figure



TRIAXIAL SHEAR TEST

Project: Legacy Parkway - Structure F-718 (500 South Over Legacy Parkway) Davis County, Utah

RB&G

ENGINEERING

INC.

Provo, Utah

HOLE NO.: RSB-12-609 | Figure

DEPTH: 73.5'-75'



























































































































D-843

500 South over Multi-Use Trail

Table 1

SUMMARY OF TEST DATA

Legacy Parkway Structure No. D-843 PROJECT LOCATION 500 South over Multi-Use Trail

PROJECT NO. 200601-146 FEATURE

Foundations

	DEPTH BELOW	STANDARD PENETRATION	IN-I	PLACE	UNCONFINED	AT	TERBERG L	MITS	MECHA	NICAL ANA	ALYSIS	UNIFIED SOIL
HOLE NO.	GROUND SURFACE (ft)	BLOWS PER FOOT	DRY UNIT WEIGHT (pcf)	MOISTURE (%)	COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH (psf)	Liquid Limit (%)	PLASTIC Limit (%)	PLASTICITY INDEX (%)	PERCENT GRAVEL	PERCENT SAND	PERCENT SILT & CLAY	CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM / (AASHTO Classification)
RSB-12-651	6-7.5	Shelby	102.1	23.4	2568	32	19	13	0	1	99	CL / A-6(13)
	13.5-15	11		26.6		27	20	7	0	33	67	CL / A-4(3)
	18-19.5	Shelby	86.1	30.8	1258	51	23	28	0	4	96	CH / A-7-6(30)
	35-36.5	Shelby	101.6	20.3	3177	34	16	18	0	8	92	CL / A-6(16)
	46.5-48	14		26.1		28	21	7	0	12	88	CL / A-4(5)
	55-56.5	Shelby	96.2	24.2	3435	38	18	20	0	10	90	CL / A-6(18)
	76.5-78	25		24.4		22	21	1	0	22	78	ML / A-4(0)
	_											
	ų ··											
		<u> </u>										
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
							·				-	
	. <u></u>	1										
							ļ					
				<u>.</u>								
							_					····
	·											!
		<u> </u>	l	L	L						L	l

NP=Nonplastic

























APPENDIX D Supplemental Data

Recommendations for LPILE and GROUP analyses.

Project:	Legacy Parkw	ay			by: srj
Structure No:	F-718	FAK No:	12		date: 7/22/2006
Description:	500 South over	er Legacy Parkway	_		
Exist. Ground	Surface Elev:	4223 ft		Pile Type:	Closed-End Pipe Pile
Est.	Pile Tip Elev:	4105 ft		Size:	16 inch O.D.
Pile Length B	elow Ground:	118 ft	-	Water Table:	Upper 5 feet
Soil Layers					
Thickness Ton Fl	ev Bottom Flev	1001	Eff 1 Init W	Cobesion Strain Factor	Friction Angle p-v Modulus k

Soll Lay	on Layers							Max Unit Resistance		
Thickness (ft)	Top Elev (ft)	Bottom Elev (ft)	Soil Type (p-y model)	Eff. Unit Wt. (pci)	Cohesion (psi)	Strain Factor _{£50}	Friction Angle (degrees)	p-y Modulus, k (pci)	Side (psi)	End (psi)
18	4223	4205	Soft Clay (Matlock)	0.033	3.5	0.020	0	30	3.4	0
12	4205	4193	Soft Clay (Matlock)	0.025	5.5	0.015	0	45	5.2	0
8	4193	4185	Liquefiable Sand	0.030	0	0	0	10	2.0	0
22	4185	4163	Soft Clay (Matlock)	0.033	9.7	0.01	0	100	8.0	0
22	4163	4141	Soft Clay (Matlock)	0.039	10.0	0.01	0	100	8.9	0
18	4141	4123	Soft Clay (Matlock)	0.032	5.5	0.015	0	45	5.5	0
30	4123	4093	Soft Clay (Matlock)	0.033	9.7	0.010	0	100	8.0	87.4

Other Considerations

Corrosion of Pipe Pile

Reduce Pipe pile wall thickness by 1/16 inch to account for corrosion.

Group Effects

Use P-Multipliers for pile groups as outlined in AASHTO LRFD 2006 Interim Section 10.7.2.4

Abutment Fill

For the length of the pile extending through the abutment fill: For Effective Unit Weights use 0.069 pci (regular weight) or 0.046 pci (pumice) Assume Friction Angle of 38 degrees. Consider reduced parameters for loading towards MSE wall face.

MSE Walls

For piles located less than 6B from MSE wall, use P-Multiplier of 0.3 or less for the MSE fill layer when loading is perpendicular to MSE wall face. MSE wall designer should be notified if MSE fill will be relied upon for lateral pile resistance. Г

Max I Init Decistance

Legacy Parkway Project Summary of Lateral Earth Pressure Recommendations

Recommended Soil Parameters

(pe	(degrees)	2-247	
Sandy Gravell 15	38	0	Recommend 150 pcf and 38 degrees for loads, and 125 pcf
Silty Sand 12	5 34	0	and 34 degrees for resistance."
Bumice 85	38	0	Recommend 85 pcf for loads and 80 pcf for resistance."

Recommendations per Memo dated April 18, 2006

(1) Active Lateral Earth Force (yielding walls)

 $P_A = 0.5 K_A \gamma H^2$ (triangular distribution) $K_A = 0.24$ for Sandy Gravel and Pumice

0.28 for Silty Sand

(2) Passive Lateral Earth Force (yielding walls)

 $P_{P} = 0.5 K_{P} \gamma H^{2}$ (triangular distribution)

 $K_P = 4.2$ for Sandy Gravel and Pumice

3.5 for Silty Sand

(3) At-Rest Lateral Earth Force (non-yielding walls)

 $P_{Q} = 0.5 K_{Q} \gamma H^{2}$ (triangular distribution)

 $K_0 = 0.38$ for Sandy Gravel and Pumice

0.44 for Silty Sand

(4) At-Rest Lateral Earth Force Modified for Compaction (non-yielding walls)

Use if activity of mechanical compaction equipment is anticipated within a distance equal to half the wall height.

General Equations for walls less than about 8 feet high

 $P_0^* = 0.5 K_0 \gamma H^2$ (triangular distribution)

Ko* = 2.8 for Sandy Gravel and Pumice

Walls greater than 8 feet high should be considered on a case-by-case basis. Pressures listed above may be reduced by limiting size of compaction equipment permitted within a distance equal to half the wall height.

(5) Seismic Lateral Earth Forces (yielding walls)

Centeral Bildge Site Location	10% PE in 10 Years	2% PE In 50 Years
From Mill Creek North	0.22g - 0.26g	0.60g - 0.63g
South of MIII Creek	0.26g - 0.30g	0.65g - 0.73g

Equations by Okabe (1926) and Mononobe and Matsuo (1929), referenced in Kramer (1996)

Total Active Thrust

 $P_{AE} = 0.5 K_{AE} \gamma H^2$

 $K_{AE} = (see table below)$

Dynamic Component

$$\Delta P_{AE} = P_{AE} - P_A$$

 P_A has triangular distribution (resultant at H/3 above base of wall) ΔP_{AE} acts at about 0.6H above base of wall (same direction as P_A)

<u>In the equations listed herein:</u> γ = effective unit weight of soil H = height of wall

(5) Seismic Lateral Earth Forces (continued from previous page)

 $\frac{\text{Total Passive Thrust}}{P_{PE} = 0.5K_{PE}\gamma H^2}$ $K_{PE} = (\text{see table below})$ Dynamic Component $\Delta P_{PE} = P_P - P_{PE}$

 P_P has triangular distribution (resultant at H/3 above base of wall) ΔP_{PE} acts at about 0.6H above base of wall (opposite P_P)

Case	Friction	Peak Ground Acceleration						
Gdab	Angle	0.25	0.30	0 63	0.73			
Active	38	0.35	0.38	0.65	0.77			
(K _{AE})	-34	0.41	0.44	0.75	0.92			
Passive	38	3.77	3,68	3.01	2.76			
(K _{PE})	34	3.14	3.05	2.39	2.11			

Dynamic Earth Pressure Coefficients (for minimal wall displacement*)

* Assumes k_h = 0.8PGHA. See memo dated April 18, 2006

Dynamic Earth Pressure Coefficients (for wall displacement up to 10A inches**)

Cost	Friction	Peak Ground Acceleration						
Case	Angle	0.25	0.30	0.63	0,73			
Active (K _{AE})	38	0.31	0.32	0.44	0.49			
	34	0.36	0.37	0.51	0.56			
Passive (K _{PE})	38	3.94	3.89	3.51	3.38			
	34	3,29	3.24	2.89	2,77			

** Assumes k_b = 0.5PGHA. See memo dated April 18, 2006

(6) Seismic Lateral Earth Pressures (non-yielding walls)

Equations by Wood (1973), referenced in Kramer (1996) Dynamic Thrust

 $\Delta P_{eq} = a_h \gamma H^2$

a_h= Peak Ground Acceleration Coefficient (PGA/g)

Dynamic Overturning Moment

 $\Delta M_{eq} = 0.53 a_h \gamma H^3$

Point of Application of Dynamic Thrust

$$h_{eq} = \Delta M_{eq} / \Delta P_{ec}$$
$$\approx 0.53 H$$

References

Kramer, S. (1996). "Geotechnical earthquake engineering," Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ. Mononobe, N. and Matsuo, H. (1929). "On the determination of earth pressures during earthquakes,"

Proceedings, World Engineering Congress, 9 p.

Okabe, S. (1926). "General theory of earth pressures," Journal of the Japan Society of Civil Engineering, Vol. 12, No. 1.

Memo

To: Sohail T. Khan, P.E; Larry Reasch, P.E.
From: Brad Price / Rob Johnson
CC: Steven K. Doerrer, PE; Brian Byrne, PE
Date: April 18, 2006
Re: Response to Design Criteria Questions

Responses to the questions submitted by Steven Doerrer are listed below. The email listing the questions is also attached for reference:

- 1) As discussed on last week's conference call (4/26/06), recommended total unit weights for fill material are as follows:
 - Regular-Weight Fill 150 pcf for load calculations, 125 pcf for resistance calculations
 - Lightweight Fill (Pumice) 85 pcf for load calculations, 80 pcf for resistance calculations

It has been noted that the unit weight of regular-weight fill varies widely depending upon the source. However, it is our understanding that it is not desirable to limit the potential regular-weight borrow sources by specifying a permissible range of fill unit weight. In the interest of conservatism, we recommend using the larger unit weight to calculate soil loads, and the smaller unit weight to calculate soil resistance. The following values are recommended for fill friction angle:

- Regular-Weight Fill 38 degrees for load calculations, 34 degrees for resistance
- Lightweight Fill (Pumice) 38 degrees for load and resistance calculations
- 2) The Mononobe-Okabe equations are in accordance with AASHTO LRFD A11.1.1.1 and do not include inertia forces. Page 11-85 of the AASHTO LRFD states that it is not conservative to neglect inertia forces of the abutment mass. We believe it is appropriate to add seismic inertia forces of the heel backfill and concrete abutments.
- 3) The dynamic earth pressure coefficients provided previously, K_{AE} and K_{PE} , are for total active and passive thrust, respectively, and include both static and dynamic components. The dynamic components are ΔK_{AE} and ΔK_{PE} and are computed by subtracting the static force from the total thrust as shown on the memo. It should be noted that the equations by Wood (1973) for non-yielding walls provide only the dynamic thrust components of force and moment, and do not include static components.
- 4) In the memo dated 04/17/06, the horizontal acceleration coefficient k_h was assumed to be 80% of the peak horizontal ground acceleration coefficient for calculation of the Mononobe-

Okabe coefficients K_{AE} and K_{PE} . AASHTO LRFD A11.1.1.2 states that a k_h value equal to $\frac{1}{2}$ the PHGA is adequate for most design purposes, provided that allowance is made for an outward displacement of the abutment of up to 10A inches (see page 11-88), where A is the maximum acceleration coefficient (PHGA). Mononobe-Okabe coefficients for the 50% reduction are summarized below, and may be used if allowance is made for the corresponding displacement.

	Frichion	Plank Ground Addelaration Coefficient						
Case	Angle	0.25	0.30	0.63	0.73			
Adlive	(38)	0.31	0,32	0,44	0,49			
(Kat)	34	0.36	0.37	0.51	0.56			
Passive	38	3,94	3.89	3.51	3.38			
(K _{FE})	34	3.29	3.24	2.89	2.77			

If displacement must be minimized, we recommend that the factors shown in the initial memo (04/17/06) be used.

It should be noted that the Mononobe-Okabe factors provided to date neglect vertical acceleration. Seed and Whitman (1970) concluded that vertical accelerations can be ignored when the Mononobe-Okabe analysis is used to estimate P_{AE} for typical wall design (see Kramer, 1996). It is estimated that positive vertical accelerations, if considered, may increase the Seismic Active Thrust coefficient (K_{AE}) by as much as 30%. If desired, the coefficients on the table above can be refined to consider vertical acceleration once Peak Vertical Ground Accelerations have been determined (see Response No. 7 below).

- 5) We can evaluate the potential pile capacities at different depths and provide results along with uplift. It is assumed that the request of estimated pile tip elevations for compression resistance of 70, 100, and 120 tons applies only to the Pedestrian Bridge over Legacy Parkway (P-21). At any bridge we can evaluate the potential for providing a specific resistance per pile if we are provided with the desired resistance values (see also Response No. 6 below). The given extreme event capacities assume a resistance factor of 1.0, and are reduced for potential liquefaction.
- 6) It is possible to consider pile diameters larger than 16", although driven piles with diameters/widths greater than 16" are somewhat rare locally and local pile driving capabilities may be limited. Also, it is our understanding that a consistent pile section is preferred for the project to limit potential errors and confusion (primarily during construction). Is increased axial resistance the only reason for considering larger diameter piles? We would like to know the specific purpose for considering other diameters (such as target resistance values), as it would be inefficient to estimate capacities for an unlimited range of diameters, toe elevations, etc.
- 7) Kleinfelder is working on site-specific response spectra for 1250 West and State Street. It is our understanding that this data will be used to develop general response spectra (including vertical accelerations) for use at all bridge sites.
- 8) It was agreed at a previous meeting that the structural firms would perform the LPILE analysis using soil parameters provided by the geotechnical engineer. We recommend that p-

multipliers be used as input in LPILE or GROUP to account for group effects. As noted on the LPILE parameters sheet included with the initial recommendations for each structure, p-multipliers for laterally-loaded pile groups are outlined in AASHTO LRFD 10.7.2.4. The factors listed in the 2006 LRFD interim are in relatively good agreement with full-scale pile group lateral load tests performed at the Salt Lake City International Airport, where shallow soils are reasonably representative of the shallow soils typically encountered at the Legacy bridge sites.